

**VIRGINIA:**

At a regular meeting of the King George County Board of Supervisors, held on Tuesday, the 5<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2021 at 6:30 p.m. in the Revercomb Building Board Room at 10459 Courthouse Drive, King George, Virginia:

**PRESENT:**

Annie Cupka, Chairman  
Jeff Stonehill, Vice-Chairman  
Cathy Binder, Member  
Jeff Bueche, Member  
Richard Granger, Member  
Chris Miller, County Administrator  
Matthew Britton, County Attorney

**0:00:19.9 Madam Chair:** I hereby call to order this meeting of the King George County Board of Supervisors. Mr. Miller, do we have any amendments to the agenda this evening, sir?

**0:00:27.7 Chris Miller:** Yes, ma'am. The county attorney will provide those.

**0:00:33.6 Matt Britton:** Madam Chair. We need to amend the agenda to have a closed session pursuant to Virginia Code Section 2.2-3711 (A) (1) regarding the discussion of personal matters, specifically the position of county attorney and the interviews of perspective candidates for the position of county attorney as well as the position of deputy county attorney.

**0:01:02.6 Richard Granger:** I move to amend the agenda as presented by Mr. Britton.

**0:01:06.9 Jeff Bueche:** Second.

**0:01:07.0 Madam Chair:** All those in favor say, "aye."

**0:01:08 Cathy Binder:** Aye.

**0:01:09 J. Bueche:** Aye.

**0:01:09.8 R. Granger:** Aye.

**0:01:09.9 Jeff Stonehill:** Aye.

**0:01:09.9 Madam Chair:** Any opposed? Chair votes aye. Motion carries. Thank you, Mr. Miller and Mr. Britton. Public comment. I'll now open the floor for public comment, comments will be limited to three minutes per person in order to afford everyone an opportunity to speak. Mr. Dines, do you have the time or ready for us, sir? Thank you. And I would just remind you, when it is your turn, please state your name and address. Thank you very much.

**0:01:40.8 James Lynch:** Yes, Mr. Chairman, madam Chairman, I appreciate it. I'm James Lynch, 8263 Oakwood Drive. I am here to hear to briefly talk about the greenways plan that is on the agenda for later on in the evening. When I heard a number of the comments and discussion that was... That came up after Mr. Clarke's presentation at the last meeting, I realized there were some issues that I needed to learn a little bit about, so I had some discussions with Mr. Miller, appreciate it, and Mr. Granger, I appreciate it. I believe... I believe there are going to be some alternatives discussed later on this evening. I've just been going to sort of looking at those alternatives and trying to understand exactly what they are and what's on the table. After looking over and looking at the original plan, I believe that the way this process is, which is very early in the game, what Mr. Clarke talked about a couple of weeks ago, was the combination of a feasibility study to come up with a concept, and that's it. I would recommend that the board for now, keep the concept plan in place as Mr. Clarke presented a couple of weeks ago, if there are some issues with that plan and some other issues with the alternatives, there's plenty of time to explore those with both county staff and other involved people in the highway department, I would encourage... I would encourage the board for now, to keep the plan as Mr. Clarke presented it a couple of weeks ago, and this ends my comment, comments, miss, madam chairman. I appreciate it. My pleasure.

**0:04:49.9 Madam Chair:** Thank you Mr. Lynch, do we have anyone else here in the audience who wishes to provide public comment this evening? Alright, seeing none, we will move on to online. Mr. Dines, do we have anyone waiting online who wishes to provide public comment? Thank you. Members, did anyone receive correspondence on behalf of a citizen wishing for it to be read in public comment? Alright, I will now close public comment and we'll move on to reports of members of the board. Mr. Granger, could you start us off, please, sir?

**0:05:29.3 R. Granger:** Yes, ma'am. Thank you. I just would like to say thank you, Mr. Lynch, I appreciate you coming, I appreciate your time as well this weekend to sit down and discuss what your thoughts were and where I stood on and so thank you for taking your time out and discussing with me. Other than that on Tuesday, September 28th, we had a CIP work session, our first one, I just want to say thank you to the Planning Commission for reviewing and providing recommendations. I'd like to say thank you to staff and department heads for coming and presenting their needs and helping us to understand what we do need to do for this county, as we continue to go through the CIP projects, so thank you to all those who are involved in getting that going... That's all I have. Thank you.

**0:06:05.0 Madam Chair:** Thank you, Mr. Granger, Mr. Stonehill?

**0:06:10.8 J. Stonehill:** Thanks, Mr. Lynch for coming out. Currently, I appreciate all the work that you do for all the programs you're involved with, so thanks for coming out. Wednesday, September 22nd, I attended virtually the Rappahannock River Basin Commission. This one, we had talked about, some emergency management of flooding and response to floodings and putting up gauges that would alert when floods of the Rappahannock were coming. Emergency response to floods and other things, the... Also general health of the river, and these gentlemen came from National Park

Service and talked about the park rivers that feed down into the Rappahannock. Sunday, the 27th, I helped Friends of Rappahannock with a free paddle event, which was held on Maturity Creek. They had about 15 or 20 people show up, it was a completely free event. They will be doing more of those the next year, not this year, due to the fall weather. They also have some trash pick-ups here in King George that they're looking for volunteers to attend, you can check out their website for dates and times. The 28th I also attended their first of couple CIP meetings for this year, and just this evening, a little while ago, I attended National Night Out, which was held this year at Brooks Park, over there at the transfer station at the backside. And just say thank you to the sheriff's office and Fire Rescue and everything that they do for putting on a really good event. So a really nice evening, clouds came in, kept it nice and cool. It seemed like it was a very well attended at a new location. And that's all I have, thank you.

**0:08:12.9 Madam Chair:** Thank you, Mr. Stonehill. Ms. Binder?

**0:08:15.4 C. Binder:** Yes, I wanna thank all the staff and Ms. Kupka for helping with the Shallow Town Hall I had on September 22nd, which we talked about Route 3. It was really good discussion, quite a few people watched it online, and I think the rain kept away everybody in the building, but I've talked in the last two weeks to quite a few people that have given feedback as they saw it online, on Facebook. So I appreciate that. I have participated in the George Washington Regional Commission's strategic planning session, and their executive search, which are a strategic planning session was about four and a half hours, but it was... We had some great dialogue. Mr. Miller came with me and I think it was very positive for that regional approach. I also attended the Dahlgren Heritage Museum Strategic Planning, they're trying to change their museum to become on a modern 21st century, more of a virtual presence, and trying to bring it into the 21st century, I would say would be the best description of it. I also attended the Commonwealths of Virginia DQ site's suitability panel, which I'm honored to be serving on. We have another meeting tomorrow. In that time, I've done a lot of research and reached out to our own staff in other localities because it is very important to make sure we protect our counties and our localities rights, and not have state mandates cost us a lot of money and also take away the rights of counties to decide their future. And lastly, I would like to nominate Jeron Hayes to the Citizens Transportation Advisory Committee, which is part of the FAMPO board.

**0:09:48.9 R. Granger:** Second.

**0:09:50.9 Madam Chair:** All those in favor, say aye.

**0:09:51.8 C. Binder:** Aye.

**0:09:51.8 J. Bueche:** Aye.

**0:09:51.8 R. Granger:** Aye.

**0:09:52 J. Stonehill:** Aye.

**0:09:53.2 Madam Chair:** Any opposed? Chair votes aye, motion carries.

**0:09:55 C. Binder:** That is all, thank you.

**0:09:57.4 Madam Chair:** Thank you, Ms. Binder. Mr. Bueche?

**0:10:00.3 J. Bueche:** Thank you, Madame Chair. So first off, I'd like to apologize to my constituents for being unable to be in person and attend our first CIP meeting. I was in quarantine with my son, thanks to COVID, but everything's clear now and everyone's doing fine. I did participate online or listen online, so I'd like to thank all our department heads and constitutional officers who presented. This is a very busy time for us with CIP, there's a lot out there, but there's a lot of planning, and I really appreciate the way that this board has the CIP process structured, going through it methodically, looking at things and planning for the long haul. So while everything can't be funded, this process starts and the way it's done, is done very open, methodically and planned out, so I really do appreciate that process. I did point out to Mr. Dines, the call-in number that I used to call in for that meeting on the website was in fact incorrect. Mr. Dines is making those updates so that the public will not experience those complications in the future. Madame Chair, that can concludes my report. Thank you.

**0:11:18.7 Madam Chair:** Thank you, Mr. Bueche. I wanna thank you, Mr. Lynch for coming out tonight and providing your comments, thank you very much. On Thursday, September 23rd, I participated in a call hosted by Representative Rob Whitman and Three Rivers Health District Director, Dr. Rich Williams. I asked a question, and I mentioned this in my board report for the last meeting regarding an increase in mental health and substance abuse issues in our community, particularly among our youths, so I asked about that. Mental health issues resulting from the pandemic, particularly among our school-aged population, specifically as to whether additional resources would be allocated to deal with it. Representative Whitman answered the funding question, "You're exactly right as to secondary effects." He has spoken to Community Services boards all across his district, and all of the indicators have gone up considerably, as far as mental health and substance abuse goes. And unfortunately, there is not a plan, dollars allocated on the federal level to send to the localities, as we know, he knows, that that is where the demand will be greatest among community services boards, our schools, our local Departments of Social Services. And he will continue to push for dollars directly to localities to be able to meet these needs. Dr. Williams provided me with a clinical resources answer. He couldn't agree more with the concerns I raised, unfortunately, every available human resource VDH has was diverted or reassigned to work on the testing, contact tracing and vaccination efforts in response to the pandemic, the same people do all of that. So direct interventions on all other initiatives are lacking, it will take lots of inter-agency focused efforts when we can refocus our human resources. So the next part, these are my words, the bottom line I took from that is that no one is coming to rescue us, it is going to largely fall upon us on the locality level to work together with our community partners, including the schools, to try to address the many issues that have come about as a residual long-term effects of the pandemic. I wanna thank Cathy Dyson of the Free Lance-Star this past Sunday, she did a very large front-page article regarding the increase in mental health needs and lack of available providers as a result of the pandemic. I, too, on Tuesday, September 28th, attended the CIP opening work session. I wanna thank our staff, Sheriff Giles and superintendent of King George County Schools, Dr. Robert Benson, for attending and articulating the need for the proposed projects. This morning, I attended the King George County School strategic plan development stakeholder meeting, I wanna thank them for having me. And I wanna remind the community, we started sharing it on social media and the county website, that community development is currently conducting a survey, it's open for the entire month of October. You can complete it online, you can complete it in paper, if you don't like to go online, regarding a massive overhaul of our zoning and subdivision ordinance, and there is also information on the county website about the two public information sessions that

we'll be having this month as well. And that concludes my report. Move on to the consent agenda, please.

**0:15:12.0 R. Granger:** Move to adopt the consent agenda as presented.

**0:15:15.2 J. Stonehill:** Second.

**0:15:17.0 Madam Chair:** All those in favor say "Aye."

**0:15:18.8 C. Binder:** Aye.

**0:15:19.0 J. Bueche:** Aye.

**0:15:19.1 R. Granger:** Aye.

**0:15:19.5 J. Stonehill:** Aye.

**0:15:19.7 Madam Chair:** Chair votes aye, motion carries. Alright, county official reports. Constitutional officers report, are there any constitutional officers here? I see Ms. Judy Hart, our Commissioner of the Revenue is here, thank you for coming out, ma'am. And I see Mr. Randy Jones, our treasurer's here as well, thank you for coming out tonight, sir. Would either of you like to approach and speak? Please go ahead and do so, Ms. Hart.

**0:15:51.9 Judy Hart:** Good evening, board members, Mr. Miller, Mr. Britton, as you know, July 1, we started the cigarette tax in King George County, we have 26 stores that sell cigarettes, 12 distributors, and come November-ish, we'll have two more stores, Kings Mart, which is gonna be opening where Perns Market used to be. And there'll be another vape store in the Food Lion parking lot next to the medical facility there, how convenient. Sheets is our highest purchaser of as a distributor of these stamps, they have purchased over \$312,000 in stamps. Our total sales to date is \$781,279.60. Deputy Simons, and Diana, and I have been visiting all the stores in the county that have been selling the cigarettes, of course, all of them, but one had violations, and these violations are where they have other cigarettes on the shelves that haven't been stamped, and we've given them instructions, "Take them off, you cannot sell them, you've got to either send them back to the distributor and have them put stamps on them, or we can sell them the stamps." And we have had three stores to purchase stamps from us and put them on, and the rest of them have sent them back, so I think that's about it from my report for this time. Is there any questions about it?

**0:17:28.7 Madam Chair:** Thank you ma'am. Any questions? Mr. Granger?

**0:17:32.8 R. Granger:** I don't have any questions, but Ms. Hart, thank you for coming in and brief us on it, I do appreciate the update.

**0:17:37.4 J. Hart:** I'll be back, when we hit a million.

**0:17:40.5 Madam Chair:** We're gonna hold you to that. Mr. Stonehill?

**0:17:44.3 J. Stonehill:** Thanks, Judy for coming out. What was that number again?

**0:17:49.9 J. Hart:** \$781,279.60.

**0:18:00.5 J. Stonehill:** And thanks for going out. I heard that you're actually with Diana and Deputy Simon going out there. So it's good to see you get out there and get out of the office but, yeah, thank you and thanks to Diana for everything that y'all do.

**0:18:19.0 J. Hart:** A fabulous job.

**0:18:20.0 J. Stonehill:** Thank you.

**0:18:20.7 J. Hart:** Thank you.

**0:18:22.0 Madam Chair:** Ms. Binder, do you have any questions for Ms. Hart?

**0:18:24.8 C. Binder:** No, I just wanted to thank Ms. Hart and her staff.

**0:18:27.6 Madam Chair:** Mr. Bueche?

**0:18:29.4 J. Bueche:** No questions but one point, so that number, 700 and something thousand dollars in the three-month period, so that's concerning to me being that we've estimated around \$4 million in our budget, so just something for us to probably track as we're moving forward. 'Cause while the number sounds great, and I know we just started, but we're falling a little short in the overall budget for that, so just something to keep our eyes on. Thank you.

**0:19:10.4 Madam Chair:** Thank you, Ms. Hart very much for your report. Alright, anyone else? We will move on then report of the County Attorney. Mr. Britton, please.

**0:19:21.4 M. Britton:** Thank you, madam Chair. Two matters, one's on the agenda, one's not. You may remember that some time ago, I was tasked with updating the trademarks for the County seal and the County shield, and we did that. And after you do that, they send you this Magna Carta looking thing with a gold seal, and that came in. And so I will hand those to Mr. Miller, with your permission to file amongst the official papers of the county for both the County shield and the County seal, which needs to be appropriately used and not for unlawful or political purposes, and things like that. And also, just to note that we should begin, as we either go forward, we should use the R, in the circle R, because you have to manifest your protection and you have to file some uses, showing that you've actually used it. You don't wanna acquiesce to someone else's use. In other words you need to enforce it, if there's an appropriate use, you need to put the R on there to give notice to the world that you have a registered trademark. And then we have... There are some filing requirements. So I've noted those here for Mr. Miller as well, they're fairly easy to do but someone needs to track and say, "This is the brochure, this is the 300th anniversary, this is a t-shirt, this is a hat, and this is how we have manifested our continuous use to protect it." You can't get a trademark and then not use it and wanna protect it, it's called abandonment. So in anyway, that's good news, and that's taken care of. The second...

**0:21:09.4 Madam Chair:** Can we pause on that. Can I... Before you go on to the other matter, can I ask my members if anyone has a question with regard to that first matter, please? Anyone? So I actually have a question or a suggestion, Mr. Miller. Can you work with Mr. Britton to come up with some mechanism whereby if we have requests from the public say, I don't know, a group that

has an event that got tourism money and might wanna use the County seal in the future in their advertising, figure out a mechanism whereby they apply for approval to do so, so that the county is approving anything that we might let someone, grant approval for?

**0:21:56.2 M. Britton:** Yes, and I've already drafted a template limited license, the use license, it's called. And I don't wanna get into the weeds, but I'll send that with a memo to Mr. Miller. And this seems to be typically a PIO function, so we can maybe assign that if we get a PIO, if that existed. And then we could have that person track that. Track that through... It could be done through economic development as well, because they put out a lot of stuff and run a lot of events and things, but typically it'll be a PIO function where they would track that and then file all the users and the reports, you give what are called exemplars, which are pictures of use, and you send them on in your report to the USPTO, the Patent and Trade Office. So it's not a heavy lift, but I have a memo and a template limited use license for Mr. Miller, so he can shoot that out. It's a none-royalty free, limited use, it can be revoked, you can't use it for unlawful... It has all the words that lawyers use.

**0:22:53.7 Madam Chair:** Very good. So maybe in the short-term, I don't like to add to economic development's load, 'cause they already carry a lot for us. Maybe that's a good short-term plan, but if we can work towards some alternative solution in the long term, that would be great.

**0:23:11.2 M. Britton:** And I would suggest that, because Nick doesn't necessarily know everything that TAC does, although I think he may know, but he knows a lot of it, but that they report to Nick when they are going to use or permit the use of the seal.

**0:23:28.2 Madam Chair:** That's a good suggestion. Ms. Binder, did you want to say something?

**0:23:31.7 C. Binder:** Yes, 'cause I just thought of something, they coming up is... I think there are several entities have used our seal in the past, so how would they go about getting their permission, and then I'm thinking of the historical society for one.

**0:23:44.4 M. Britton:** Well, or that sign behind you. You can put stickers on things, but that's what they would do. They would just notify, put everybody on notice that if you've been using it, you get this limited use license, and this is the new requirement, that you gotta use the circle R.

**0:24:00.0 C. Binder:** Okay, thank you.

**0:24:00.6 M. Britton:** It's pretty easy for people that are compliant. The more difficult ones are the people who are calling it, King George County, Virginia, Established 1720 Political Action Committee or something like that, or vape shop or whatever, and they won't stop. That's the more... I don't think we have any of those, but the infringers, but the other people, the non infringers are just gonna ask for permission and get it. It's not a heavy lift.

**0:24:28.4 Madam Chair:** Thank you, Mr. Britton and as for the next matter?

**0:24:32.5 M. Britton:** Yes, I was tasked by the board to research and draft up a resolution regarding what financial documents are required under state law and county code or could be required under state law and county code of the office of the treasurer to ensure that there was a competent and continuity of services in the financial management of the county. And I did that. There are several state codes that relate to with county codes, and the board is empowered under

state law to require request from the treasurer's office that certain reports be filed and on what basis. And the Board gave me directions and I drafted up a draft resolution for your consideration, which is before the board to ensure that these reports were given to the county finance, and to the board of supervisors on a periodic and timely basis under both state and local law.

**0:25:38.2 C. Miller:** If I may...

**0:25:38.9 Madam Chair:** Go ahead, Mr. Miller.

**0:25:40.3 C. Miller:** I would just point out that the most up-to-date version of that is at your desks.

**0:25:46.5 Madam Chair:** Thank you. And it appears it's online as well.

**0:25:48.7 C. Miller:** Yes.

**0:25:49.4 Madam Chair:** Yeah. Alright, do we have any questions from members for Mr. Britton, any questions? I do note again, Mr. Jones, you are here, sir, do you have anything you'd care to share? Very good, thank you, sir. Alright, with that, I'll entertain a motion.

**0:26:12.9 R. Granger:** I move to adopt the resolution, financial reporting by the treasurer as presented.

**0:26:22.5 J. Stonehill:** Second.

**0:26:22.8 Madam Chair:** Any further discussion? All those in favor say... You know what, I'm sorry. I do need a roll call vote on this.

**0:26:29.2 M. Britton:** It's a roll call vote, Madam Chair.

**0:26:31.6 Madam Chair:** I need a roll call vote. Sorry about that.

**0:26:35.3 R. Granger:** Aye.

**0:26:37.4 J. Stonehill:** Aye.

**0:26:38.6 C. Binder:** Aye.

**0:26:40.1 J. Bueche:** Aye.

**0:26:42.7 Madam Chair:** Chair votes aye. Motion carries unanimously. Thank you, Mr. Britton.

**0:27:01.8 M. Britton:** Nothing further, Madam Chair.

**0:27:09.2 Madam Chair:** Thank you, Mr. Britton. We will move on to presentations and reports. 10-03A, after event presentation by Jazz In The Country. And Mr. Dines, can you pull that up for us, please? Thank you, sir. And do we have... Is it Ms. Joan Waters?

**0:27:35.3 Joan Waters:** Joan Waters.

**0:27:37.2 Madam Chair:** And Mr. Larry Robinson, on behalf of Jazz In The Country. Thanks for coming out this evening.

**0:27:41.6 J. Waters:** Thank you and good evening, Madam Chair and board members. I don't wanna forget, we have souvenir festival programs that we'll get to you a little later. While we're waiting, I'll note the issue about the county seal. We interpreted approval of our funding and other discussions that we could use it, so we'll be in touch to find out the permissions that we need.

**0:28:51.2 Madam Chair:** That's great. You know, going forward.

**0:28:52.8 J. Waters:** Yes.

[Pause]

**0:29:09.0 Cupka:** All right, whenever you're ready. Okay.

**0:29:15.3 J. Waters:** Great, well, I'd be able to...Okay, great. Thank you, thank you. I hope you can see that. We're excited to be here to report about a very successful event that we had a second annual benefit Jazz Festival at Mount Bethel, right here in King George. So the first thing we want to address is the ticket sales and attendance this year, which was a little over 300, including 23 that day. We had attendees from Virginia, 18 different towns that were non-King George residents, and then 66 from King George. We had 121 from Maryland, representing 28 towns, and 56 from Washington DC. We were very grateful that the Hampton Inn & Suites gave a discount to anyone connected with jazz at the festival, and there were 10 rooms that were occupied. And you'll notice as we go through some pictures from that day, just to give you an idea of what it actually looked like on the ground. And so you'll see different performers on that first page. So next page please. The important thing for our success, we believe, is the marketing that we were able to do with your help. We were all over social media, we went Google, Facebook, Everfest, several different festival type websites, as well as the artists websites, and several local organizations here in King George and Northern Virginia. Google had the greatest impact. We spent a little over \$1600 for four ads that showed from the period of May 22nd through the festival, and it reached over 59,000 people, and that means it was showing that many times and over 3,000 actually clicked on it, of course, we can't tell if they were actual attendees or purchasers or whatever, but the reach was there, flew all over the Mid-Atlantic in Delaware, Maryland, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, West Virginia. So that was a real boost, and we liked that we were able to set up exactly how much we wanted to spend, and we were only charged when people actually clicked on an ad.

And there were quite a few people that said that's how they found out about us and actually attended the festival. So the next page, we talk about Facebook ads, and this particular stat is from mid-July through August, reaching over 15,000 people actually reached a little over 20,000 people, we had a social media volunteer that sorta helped us on the side and her ads reached about 5,000. So what we wanted to keep it right in everyone's face, so we had... Besides the ads themselves, we had posts just talking about the festival, showing the flyers over and over, saying how excited we were and how they needed to hurry up and get their ticket and to be there, and many shared them also on their personal Facebook pages. So those ads reached Maryland, DC, Virginia, again North Carolina, West Virginia, Pennsylvania Jersey, Georgia and as Cardenas, Florida.

The next page talks about the website traffic, and we have 10 individual pages with information right on our website. Basic information about the festival, we have pages talking about Mount

Bethel itself, King George County, how to get tickets, who the performers were, how to be a sponsor. Guidelines to the festival and even how to get here, with a nice big traffic alert in case there was bridge congestion. And also hotel discounts. So between June 1st and August 28th, we had over 8,000 visitors to our website. Over 6000 were new people who had never been to our website before, and to the right, you see top traffic sources, over 3,600 were due to Google Ads. So it did pay off to be out there in that social media space, a lot just heard about this through word of mouth and would come to the website to get their tickets or just to see what we were about. Many did not know anything about King George or Mount Bethel or jazz in the country.

So the Google ads really boosted our presence in the social media. At the bottom is just a repeat of those numbers where it talks about, to the left unique visitors, those were first timers and altogether... To the right, you'll see top pages by sessions, of course, they go to the home page and then they go to buy tickets... Get information about purchasing tickets, performance, etcetera. We currently have over 550 subscribers to our website, we have ongoing email blast to those who subscribe to, let them know what we're up to and what we plan on doing, and those email blasts also include links to the visit King George Page.

We're trying to invite them and invite them and encourage and entice them to come to King George, not just for a festival, but for other activities. So this page talks about our presence on air b101.5 FM radio, which I'd never heard of until they contacted us... How did they find out about us? One of the banners on the side of the road, so they contacted and wanted to be supportive, so they did a 50/50 ticket deal for us in August, their listeners range or live between Fredericksburg and Richmond area. You may be familiar with that station, WHUR is a R&B station out of DC, their listenership is all throughout Delaware, Maryland, Virginia, they're one of the top R&B stations in the area, and they were so excited that they did a promo, a ticket giveaway for us in August as well. 104.1 is one of the largest... Probably the largest gospel station in the area as well. They brought a promo van to the festival, we bought some air time with them as well, and several mentioned that's how they found out about us, they have a large listenership even here in King George as well. We were in the news, we are friends now with freelance Star and recreation News, they did feature articles in August as well us buying ad space in their publications and online.

Excuse me. And I'm sure you saw some of our banners and road signs throughout the area all the way down to Richmond, thanks to our board member, Randy, he was really on the road, getting the signs out and the banners at the bottom of this page is one of my favorite pictures, if you'd scroll down just a tiny bit. And those were attendees having a great time at the festival. Good music, good people. Alright, so the next page is a brief overview of the finances, the grant that we received from King George County, which amounted to 15,500, paid for most of the marketing that we did, we actually spent \$16,200, we received in cash, which is a combination of the ticket sales, vendor fees, sponsored fees, and over \$12,000 in in kind donations from local businesses, that total \$61,604, and that figure... We calculated as 397% return on that \$15,000. Then we sort of break it down to ticket sales, we budgeted 18 and some thousand, we actually sold 19 and some thousand our expenses we budgeted at \$46,750, we were a little under that at \$43,815, the revenue as we spoke of before, we had budgeted 48, and we were a little bit over that with \$49,467, which gave us proceeds of \$5,600, so we're happy about that our first go-around it wasn't a pretty picture, but we're learning as we go and really making new business partners each year.

So a little comparison between our first inaugural fest in 2019 and this year. In marketing, 2019, we spent \$2,600 and change. This year we spent \$16,200 ticket sales in 2019 were \$8,761. This year, \$19,411. The sponsors gave \$9,454. And that was in 2019, this year, \$11,653 plus \$12,000 in kind sponsorships. So we like to end with what the people say, so as we did our inaugural year, we asked our performers, our attendees, our volunteers, our staff, to complete a short survey to let us know how we're doing, so as in 2019, most of those who responded to the survey said they heard about

jazz in the country by word of mouth, others by roadsides and banners, social media, our website, newspaper and radio, so it was all a combination of marketing efforts that gave us visibility to those in Delaware, Maryland, Virginia and beyond. And my favorite comment from a first time attendee is that jazz in the country is the soul of King George, featuring accomplished high quality musicians. A must see event annually.

So that's how we feel too. We wanna be, "The soul of King George." Moreover, we want people to come to King George, not just for us, not just for Mt. Bethel, but just to see what the area has to offer. And so finally, in conclusion, we have sort of determined the engaging elements for core marketing. People wanna know who, they wanna know where, when and why we're doing it. So number one is who, because we could have a nice little festival and have unknowns and it will not draw the crowd. So we aim to get mid-level, at this point, mid-level performers. And when I say mid-level, they charge a pretty penny to come. And then we have to provide all the back line, all the equipment, all the staging, all the sound and all of that for them.

So we believe that is a very, very important thing as we move forward, the performers that we bring to King George. Of course, the location in King George is Mt. Bethel. Everyone falls in love with Mt. Bethel. If you haven't been there, you've got to come. It's 75 acres of waterfront property, the weather cooperates. It was hot this time, but it's good, it's good to be by the water. Of course, when it is, and people really perk up when you tell them why we do what we do, and our main reason, of course, is supporting Mt. Bethel retreat center, to revitalize their facilities, so that it is the number one attraction for King George. And we want everyone to fall in love with King George. So that ends our report. Are there any questions for us?

**0:43:36.2 Madam Chair:** Thank you, Ms. Waters. Any questions from members? Mr. Granger.

**0:43:39.7 R. Granger:** Yes, ma'am. Ms. Waters, thank you for the presentation. I really appreciate it. I'm gonna start by asking a quick question. What was the attendance at the inaugural? I know this one you said was a little over 300, I don't recall what it was at the inaugural.

**0:43:55.1 J. Waters:** It was 120 something...

**0:43:56.8 R. Granger:** 120, awesome.

**0:43:57.9 J. Waters:** 125 or so. Yes.

**0:44:00.1 R. Granger:** Oh, great. I'm glad to hear that. Clearly a significant increase. And so the statistics on your marketing, I think show a lot for how you were able to improve that. So congratulations on that. I'm glad that it was successful event, and thank you for coming to brief us on it. I really appreciate it.

**0:44:15.0 J. Waters:** Thank you, Thank you.

**0:44:17.1 Madam Chair:** Thank you, Mr. Granger. Mr. Stonehill?

**0:44:21.0 J. Stonehill:** Ms. Waters, Pastor Robinson, Mr. Pryor, Randy, you should've been standing up there too, with all the work that you do in the background.

**0:44:29.8 J. Waters:** Yeah.

**0:44:30.5 J. Stonehill:** Your work is not hidden. So I just wanted to say, I talked to a lot of people and they said they had a great time. What a really great event. Unfortunately, I could not attend. It's always a tough weekend for me. So it's just tough, but it's great you guys did what you did, I look forward to working with you next year as well. You have a day yet?

**0:44:56.9 J. Waters:** Yes, August 27th, 2022.

**0:45:00.4 J. Stonehill:** 27. Okay, very good.

**0:45:01.6 J. Waters:** I'll give you a reminder.

**0:45:03.4 J. Stonehill:** Great.

**0:45:03.6 J. Waters:** We'll be looking for you.

**0:45:05.8 J. Stonehill:** And congratulations on that. Like you said, just so much a better job than the first year, but trying to work all the bugs out and the kinks out and everything, it's growing pain. So this is definitely the kind of thing that King George needs, to have this, to be able to advertise this, to get people to come to the county for an event like this is just great, and a big live music event like that is just fantastic. So looking forward to it, thank you for the presentation and thank you all three and the rest of your staff for everything you guys did.

**0:45:39.4 J. Waters:** Thank you.

**0:45:41.5 Madam Chair:** Thank you, Mr. Stonehill. Ms. Binder.

**0:45:43.6 C. Binder:** I want to thank you for your presentation, I'm glad that it worked out. I have to say, I saw a lot of those banners and signs on the road side, they popped up a lot on the way to Fredericksburg and back. So good job getting that viewership out there so that people can see it. And this is a very positive thing for King George especially with the numbers going up, and I'm glad you got a lot of... Because it's, you get the pre-covid and post-covid, and I'm glad you still were able to get quite a few people who wanna come out and enjoy Jazz. And I have visited Mt. Bethel a long time ago to take pictures, so it is a nice location. Thank you.

**0:46:20.5 J. Waters:** Thank you so much.

**0:46:21.6 Madam Cupka:** Thank you, Ms. Binder. Mr. Bueche.

**0:46:23.2 J. Bueche:** Thank you Madam Chair. Thank you very much for this presentation. If you recall, when we were back looking at the funds, I had questions because of the attendance of the first year and things, and this is why I really appreciate this after action review, because it shows how you can develop from one year and how things grow. So that might help us in the future to be able to put things in perspective when others are asking for money for like events. I wasn't able to attend, but I heard it. So I live off of Owens myself, off of Jordan Lane, not too far. And that evening, I heard the music, and it sounded pretty nice. So I hung out on my back deck for a little while. So thank you very much. This is great information. That's all I have, Madam Chair.

**0:47:14.4 Madam Chair:** Thank you, Mr. Bueche. So thank you all for coming out. I'm a data

geek. I love data from having been a grant writer, and this is exactly the kind of thing that we need to see, so then it makes us more likely to want to award funding, both as Mr. Bueche said to other groups to look at, and then we compare. Well, this group did this and showed us. They put their money literally where their mouth was, and showed us there they were analytics as far as how they spent their money and what their reach was across, social media, website clicks, and all that good stuff. So that's exactly the kind of things that we're looking for. So thanks very much for taking the time to do this. Thanks again for coming out tonight. And we wish you all the best.

**0:48:10.1 J. Waters:** Thank you, thank you. We realize that without your support, this would not be possible. When you see the difference in the marketing outreach we had at first go around. So we really appreciate it and we'll be back.

**0:48:24.7 Madam Chair:** Thank you all. Have a good evening.

**0:48:25.9 J. Waters:** Thank you so much.

**0:48:31.7 Madam Chair:** Alright, moving along, SMART SCALE projects presentation by Miss Kimberly Wilson, economic development. Welcome, Ms. Wilson.

**0:48:42.6 Kimberly Wilson:** Thank you. Good evening, Madam Chair, members of the board. I was here September 7th at the board meeting, presenting five possible projects for our SMART SCALE round of applications. After some discussion, the board asked me to research two additional projects. One of those projects, we were actually able to combine, and that was the Owens Drive section of sidewalk that Mr. Bueche asked about. So VDOT recommends US 301 from University Drive to Route 614, which is Owens Drive, roadway safety improvements, including crosswalks and ped signal upgrades at both intersections with bike ped accommodations along the north side of 301 to include a crosswalk at Owens Drive with sidewalk extending from 301 to Chatham Drive along the east side of Owens Drive. The second project was a recommendation from Ms. Cupka. VDOT also recommended this project. They were very excited about it. A shared use path along north... The north side of 218 from Caledon State Park entrance connecting to the Dahlgren Heritage Railroad Trail at the overpass of the trail. The only issue with this project will be property ownership. So that's up to the board. We can still submit it as part of this pre-application to the pre-application. And I spoke with Steven Haines, and he said that they would dig a little deeper and see if it would be viable or not. There were some concerns about the project at 301, Port Conway in Salem Church Road. These drawings came to me from David Beale, and he explained that the reason the project did not score well the first time was because we weren't really making safety improvements. We were just extending turn lanes. So this would be a reconfigured intersection to a restricted crossing U-turn intersection, and this was recommended by the study that was done from 2018, and the accident summary analysis that David provided me stated that there were 23 accidents between 2016 and 2020. I spoke with David Beale on this project as well, and he stated that we could actually combine these projects and make them one. And then the final project was for the lane extension in Eden drive, and unfortunately, after talking to David Beale, it will not score well, either in safety or economic development. So this kind of rounds up the projects. I know there's six listed, but two of those can be combined, and now I'm at the pleasure of the board, so we can combine the Route 3 turn lanes, and that would still give us five projects.

**0:52:24.8 Madam Chair:** Alright, questions. Mr. Granger?

**0:52:31.1 R. Granger:** So, it's... I'm sorry. So there's... As you said, combining those two Route 2s would then set us at five.

**0:52:36.3 K. Wilson:** Correct.

**0:52:36.6 R. Granger:** And five is the number we can put forth.

**0:52:38.6 K. Wilson:** Five is what we can apply for, but ultimately only four will...

**0:52:42.7 R. Granger:** And Eden Drive is the one that sounds like it'd probably be the...

**0:52:45.9 K. Wilson:** Yes.

**0:52:46.6 R. Granger:** Most likely to fall off based off Mr. Beale's information. Okay.

**0:52:50.2 K. Wilson:** It doesn't mean that we can't still...

**0:52:51.3 R. Granger:** Apply.

**0:52:52.6 K. Wilson:** Apply.

**0:52:53.7 R. Granger:** Yes.

**0:52:54.4 K. Wilson:** But it just probably will not score very well.

**0:52:56.1 R. Granger:** Okay. If we don't have any others, then I would say these should be the final. I don't have any questions, so thank you. I appreciate it.

**0:53:03.6 Madam Chair:** Mr. Stonehill?

**0:53:04.4 J. Stonehill:** I don't really have any questions. Can you send this to us? It's not a package. Is it? I didn't see it. If you could just send that to at least me or the rest of the colleagues, if they're interested in that, but if that's fine to combine those two, and if the Eden Drive is not gonna make it this time, we'll just cut that off, but that's all I have.

**0:53:33.6 Madam Chair:** So just to make sure we're all on the same page, Ms. Wilson, my understanding from the application process is that these have to be sent in by October 15th, right?

**0:53:47.3 K. Wilson:** Correct.

**0:53:47.8 Madam Chair:** So we will not have another meeting before then, so we need to determine board consensus and direct staff accordingly tonight. And that's why we didn't do it at the last meeting. We knew this was gonna be the... We didn't do it at the prior meeting. We knew this was our last chance to do this, so we will need to come to an agreement this evening, just so everybody is on the same page. Alright. Ms. Binder?

**0:54:12.7 C. Binder:** I'm gonna be the contrary here because I don't agree with the Eden Drive. I think maybe we need to ask what kind of data... What I understand that we would combine the two, it's five, because I think it's really short-sighted from whoever thinks that it isn't gonna score very well on the VDOT side because that is a potential accident waiting to happen, especially with all the houses that are being developed in the way you cut through back on to 301, so I would like to keep it on there because...

I don't think... I think it's really important, and I think there's just some shortsightedness or not being able to see the long-term consequences, and I'm passionate about it. I drive it every day and I see accidents almost happened, and you're adding more cars onto that road and it's gonna be a problem. And even if it's not a red light, having a turn lane or extending the turn lanes like at Port Conway and Salem Church Road, which is also important, would be vital. But that's my two cents.

**0:55:06.2 Madam Chair:** Mr. Bueche?

**0:55:07.5 J. Bueche:** Thank you, Madam Chair. So Kimberly, just for clarity, we're just scoring for our... Or we're gonna submit what we can now with the combination that we can do. But do we have to assign a priority, like this is our number one priority, or we just send these in, they get scored, and then we get told what they're gonna do, is that correct?

**0:55:28.4 K. Wilson:** Correct.

**0:55:31.3 J. Bueche:** Okay, so awesome. Madam Chair, I would say if we could do the combination, we submit what we have. I do, however, want to thank everybody, really, that this sidewalk, extending to Chatham Drive is huge on Owens, especially when the base gets back to full operations, people walking along there, it's just a matter of time of somebody getting hit. This is an absolute benefit to our citizens out there. So I would like to thank my colleagues for that conversation, and that's why we have these deliberations up here is because that's how this came about, was our conversation as a board and now to see it up here. Thank you very much to my colleagues and Kimberly for making that happen. So madam Chair that I say we submit all of 'em. Thank you.

**0:56:24.8 Madam Chair:** Thank you, Mr. Bueche. I wanna thank you, especially Ms. Wilson for your time on this and pulling everything together and working with VDOT to come to the realization, 'cause I can tell you, in the GWRC and FAMPO meetings, they're putting together these mega projects. And as long as they're within a certain amount of space but at certain distance of each other, you can put them in, and so great job on that. I, too, travel 301 and Eaton Drive every day, probably four to six times a day, depending on which kid I gotta get where or work or whatever. So I wanna just go ahead and leave it in, and if it turns out it does, it doesn't meet muster, it gets screened out, it gets screened out, and they will provide us a reason why, Ms. Binder, so we'll know pretty clearly. So it sounds to me like consensus is to go ahead and submit the five then, and then one will get whittled away probably 'cause we can only have four, and then we'll go from there. So thanks again for your work on this.

**0:57:35.6 K. Wilson:** Alright. Thank you.

**0:57:36.4 Madam Chair:** Alright. Yes, Mr. Miller.

**0:57:41.5 C. Miller:** Do you need an actual vote on this? Do they need... Okay.

**0:57:46.0 Madam Chair:** No, we just, the consensus...

**0:57:47.4 C. Miller:** It's just the direction, that's fine.

**0:57:48.9 Madam Chair:** Yeah, so once it works walks through the process, it goes to this part and then it goes to pre-application, and then when they get ready to submit the full application in the portal, that's when Ms. Wilson will have to come back to us, just like she did last time for the board resolution for the King George Middle School turn lanes project. And she'll just have to come back and get one of those from us once it gets narrowed down to whatever our four are, so that's when... Yes, we'll have to have a public vote. Alright, thanks again, Ms. Wilson. Alright, GWRC, Regional Greenway Study presentation by Mr. Miller.

**0:58:28.1 C. Miller:** Okay, at the last meeting, we had representatives from GWRC, Mr. Ollis and Ms. Gibson that were here and talked about this conceptual study. I believe you have a memo for me regarding where we stand at this process right now. So there in front of you, on the screen is a revised map that shows the trail proposal and it's a conceptual trail. Again, I think that's very important to note. And what GWRC is looking for was the final input. I think that we've arrived at that, and essentially what we were attempting to do on this was to have the trail deviate or divert around our industrial park. And so at and around Bloomsbury, the plan is that it would just basically go down towards Route 3, and then it would hook up later to the west with the Stafford County Plan. And it's my understanding that GWRC worked with Stafford County to connect up with what we're trying to do, and you can see that major deviation, which is our industrial park there. And I think that was in attempting to address Supervisor Granger's concerns. And I would just propose, this is our version that we submit to the GWRC, and it's a conceptual study, again, \$20,000 study that they're doing. It doesn't bind us at all, but it is something, as I pointed out, when you're starting to go forward as a region, looking for money and looking for opportunities, you're best being a part of a partnership, because these agencies that provide the money are always looking for the coalition of folks, and so that's where we are right now. Are there any questions? I'll be happy to answer them.

**1:00:48.9 Cupka:** Thank you, Mr. Miller, let's start with Mr. Granger, sir.

**1:00:51.7 R. Granger:** Yes, ma'am, I appreciate it. Mr. Miller, thank you, I appreciate you doing the legwork on this, and as I said before, I met with Mr. Lynch and so I appreciate his time. I'm gonna ask... After meeting with Mr. Lynch, I'm still in favor of trying to move around the industrial park. But Mr. Lynch should ask about the possibility of going down from Route 3 down Fletchers Chapel Road, connect back up to the railroad to go across into Stafford, and so I didn't... I was just gonna throw that out as a possible idea, 'cause I think that kind of addresses the issue with the industrial park and the concerns that I have there, but then would connect back up to where they originally said that Stafford and King George they were trying to have that connection and so...

**1:01:37.9 C. Miller:** I will just say whatever you guys wanna do on this. I think that we have to get them an answer back tomorrow.

**1:01:43.7 R. Granger:** I understand that, I appreciate the challenges that presents. So I was putting that out there for my colleagues to consider as well.

**1:01:52.2 C. Miller:** If you could give me a little bit more specifics 'cause I mean we can basically let Miss Barber, who is the GWRC coordinator on this project know, and they can go in and move things around, it wasn't Herculean effort, I can tell you that. They were very amenable and they wanna move forward, and so they understand our concerns.

**1:02:14.5 R. Granger:** Okay.

**1:02:16.0 C. Miller:** It was... Yeah, I was going down Route 3 and then from Route 3 taking a right onto Fletcher's Chapel Road, which is right where the Sealston Elementary School is roughly, and as you head down there, then it would connect back up to the railroad. I don't know a good road to try to identify where it would be around at, maybe Country Drive.

**1:02:37.3 R. Granger:** Okay.

**1:02:39.3 C. Miller:** Or a little before Country Drive. But, that was an idea Mr. Lynch had and it seemed like a reasonable one to me. As I said though, I'll leave it to my colleagues though, as well to weigh in, it's not my decision to make on my own.

**1:02:56.5 Madam Chair:** Thank you, Mr. Granger, Mr. Stonehill?

**1:03:04.8 J. Stonehill:** I don't have a whole lot of questions, I'm just having a hard time with this map, this little jig that goes around, Richard maybe you can...

**1:03:12.7 R. Granger:** So... Well, I have it up on my screen in Google Maps. So it's going down this way and then just cutting down Route 3 all the way. Instead, it would... And then so it would kinda go down... It's hard for me to... Like right around here. So roughly it'd go down that way and then go in that way. Which is where the original connecting road would've stopped, following that trail line. Well at least, that was the proposal, originally, as I remember it.

**1:03:47.4 J. Stonehill:** The river goes through there, but if I remember correctly, right there at Country Drive, that's the back side of the dump there, isn't it?

**1:04:00.6 R. Granger:** That is... Yes.

**1:04:00.9 J. Stonehill:** Country Drive runs up and curves around, and the dump is right there on your right-hand side, so they have to get through or behind it somewhere.

**1:04:10.4 R. Granger:** You'd be going straight to the left, off of Fletcher's Chapel, not to the right, towards Stafford. Away from the dump, off of the road.

**1:04:21.3 J. Stonehill:** A little... At the map I see a dump. If it's a straight shot through there, that would be amendable to have them look at it, but...

**1:04:25 C. Miller:** I can't stress enough that this is your plan, and if you wanna add whatever you wanna add, this is conceptual. So...I don't know that we need to... So I'll take whatever input and so that we can provide them with something that you're comfortable with. Again, I think that's the most important thing.

**1:05:00.9 R. Granger:** If my colleagues just wanna move forward with the plan as is presented that's fine. I just wanted to at least address the one concern so, I'll leave it to my colleagues to weigh in though.

**1:05:14.3 Stonehill:** That's all I have. I said, "That's all I have."

**1:05:20.8 Madam Chair:** Okay. So, did you prefer one over the other?

**1:05:29.1 J. Stonehill:** I'm trying to pull up the map.

**1:05:30.4 Madam Chair:** Okay, I'll come back around. Okay, we'll loop back around. Ms. Binder?

**1:05:34.3 C. Binder:** Alright, I'm just asking, 'cause looking at this map and trying to figure out where Route 3 is on this map.

**1:05:42.5 R. Granger:** It's the yellow.

**1:05:43.1 C. Binder:** Yellow?

**1:05:44.0 C. Miller:** Do you see where the blue line from the, comes down, and then all of a sudden there's a yellow?

**1:05:49.9 C. Binder:** Yes.

**1:05:50.9 C. Miller:** That's where the change has been made.

**1:05:57.5 C. Binder:** Okay. So it would follow Route 3?

**1:06:01.3 C. Miller:** That was in the effort to get it away from the industrial park and Birchwood and the dump.

**1:06:04.9 C. Binder:** Right, that is where my question comes in.

**1:06:06.5 C. Miller:** It ends at the trail bend.

**1:06:08.3 C. Binder:** Okay, that makes sense. But my question is the safety, 'cause it'd be on Route 3.

**1:06:15.5 C. Miller:** That is certainly a good point. I would concede that that is a concern. But, I would also point out that this is a conceptual drawing. And that in 10 years from now or five years from now, if there are funds available, there'll be people that will be saying the very same thing that you're saying. And David Beale, if he's the Resident Engineer, will tell us, "That's not a good idea, so let's find another way to design this." And we will say, "You're right, let's work through it." I don't think you're committing yourself to anything. I don't think that you're... This is a conceptual study, this is something to try to tie everybody together so that the people with the parks, the national parks, or the whatever, the transited, not the transit, but the Federal Highway Administration that have bike and pedestrian money available, that they're gonna see that there is this master plan to have a trail that goes from Stafford County all the way to Charles County in

Maryland. Because you're gonna go over the bridge at some point. So I think that's what they're trying to do. They're not trying to hone it down at this point. And I get the concerns about that, but I think it was... What we did is to try to get away from the industrial park, because obviously, we don't wanna have a major trail go right through the center of our industrial park. That's not gonna be very attractive for Nick to sell. And I think, obviously, you also have the waste management site that you might wanna get away from. And then the other thing that you might wanna get away from would be the Birchwood site, because Birchwood wants to probably not have something that's out there yet, because they're not talking about that to us, when we talk about it. They're not saying, "Hey, I'd like to have a trail, it'd be great to have a trail out there." They're not saying that so...

**1:08:07.4 C. Binder:** Well, I understand it. But hopefully, in the future when this could be viable, there's money that's available to have it safely complete, and I understand. I just was pointing out the thing that I don't know if has come up, but it is a concern, but maybe magic will happen and it'll be able to in 5-10 years, be viable in that part. But that's all I have. Thank you.

**1:08:32.0 Madam Chair:** Mr. Bueche.

**1:08:34.5 J. Bueche:** Thank you, Madam Chair. We do have some things going on with this, because \$20,000 is being spent on a study, whether we get five or 10 years down the road and there's changes, we should get in a position, a better position now, so that those things don't stipple anything down the road. Now, just looking at this from my perspective, I don't like it. I don't like the idea of linking it to privately owned land, which is currently the trail, the Dahlgren Heritage Trail, where it deviates is at the trail head at Bloomsbury and then coming down to get to three, which also ties into Ms. Binder's concerns about safety. My biggest concern is private property ownership, and even with this concept, I don't wanna be 10 years in a position that some board had this idea and this regional alliance came up with this, so the State or the Fed feels that they can step in and utilize them in a domain, I don't support that at all. I'm just one, but I really don't see this greenway network as advantageous to the citizens of King George. That's my personal opinion, I just don't like it. Thank you.

**1:09:55.4 Madam Chair:** So Mr. Bueche, I'm gonna ask you a question. Where are you seeing that either the suggestion brought to us by staff or Mr. Granger, where is that acquisition of private property?

**1:10:12.4 J. Bueche:** So based on what Mr. Miller stated earlier that this is conceptual and that it would run along Route 3, there's private land owned on both sides of Route 3 all the way down, so that's where if something was to be put in and someone didn't wanna sell their privately held land, the only way to acquire that property would be through eminent domain, so that was based on the comments that this is conceptual meaning that property line could fluctuate.

**1:10:43.1 Madam Chair:** So I think the proposition here is to do a shared use path on the existing roadway, such as like take for instance, Cool Springs Road, when you turn right on Cool Springs and go up Cool Springs towards 218 at that intersection with Deacon there's, it's just a marked bike lane on the shoulder, I think is what right now that's all that anyone is looking at. I'm just not seeing all this private property acquisition.

**1:11:13.9 J. Bueche:** I'm not saying that that's all of it, I'm saying that that's something that could be playing into this, so when you... Depending on what this is gonna be, they may have to expand

the shoulder, okay, on Route 3, which means they're gonna have to cut into off of existing roadway into private land, and there are some houses along Route 3 that are very far off, and there are some properties that are actually fairly close to the road, so not knowing exactly where this line is because again, Mr. Miller said this is conceptual. That's one of the concerns I would have down the road.

**1:11:53.0 Madam Chair:** So if VDOT wanted to do that, they're gonna have to obtain the right of way to do that, and that's factored in, that's part of any project cost is factored in the right-of-way acquisition to do so. I just, I see it as, yes, you're saying VDOT could just go and do this, we'll know because the locality has to provide a resolution of support to support this, we can't even get VDOT to turn chip or rustic rural roads for us with money that they set aside for us to do that, unless we give them a resolution of support from the governing body. So I don't share your concern, I appreciate where you're coming from, but I would be okay either with the alternative that Mr. Miller brought us this evening or the suggestion that Mr. Granger has. I would be okay with moving forward with either one of those. So I said I would go back down the line, so let's do so. Mr. Granger?

**1:13:04.0 R. Granger:** I'm gonna ask if... I'm gonna say that I'm amenable to either way, so if it comes down to, that's fine with me, but either Mr. Miller's or my preference, I guess, would be to go down Fletcher's Chapel to connect back up, to connect in to separate that way.

**1:13:17.0 Madam Chair:** Thank you, Mr. Granger. Mr. Stonehill?

**1:13:21.8 J. Stonehill:** Since they need an answer tomorrow I just say we go ahead with the draft that we have right here in front of us.

**1:13:28.9 Madam Chair:** Ms. Binder?

**1:13:29.7 C. Binder:** I agree with the same.

**1:13:32.0 Madam Chair:** So with this one here as presented by staff?

**1:13:34.8 C. Binder:** Yes.

**1:13:35.1 Madam Chair:** Very good, Mr. Bueche?

**1:13:36.2 J. Bueche:** I don't like either.

**1:13:37.8 Madam Chair:** Thank you. Alright then, I believe we have four in favor of going forward with this, Mr. Miller? Yep. Alright.

**1:13:47.4 C. Miller:** Thank you. I will convey that to GWRC.

**1:13:51.3 Madam Chair:** Thank you for your work on that. Moving right along, FRED Transit presentation by Mr. Miller.

**1:13:58.0 C. Miller:** Okay, so at the one of the previous meetings we had the FRED Transit presentation that was done by GWRC. I also have a memo there for you... I had a conversation with Ian Ollis, who is with GWRC, as you recall, there was really, I think, a very mixed bag of support

on behalf of you all about this. One time FRED Transit was active, there was a route that went to Dahlgren, they stopped, and GWRC had an opportunity to study. This is... Did you wanna return to that? I have had a conversation with Mr. Hastings, who is kind of the community development liaison for the base. He indicated that it would be very difficult to probably get a level of support for this on the base right now, and that there are some logistical issues in terms of where you would have these people come in... I think with COVID it's a problem as well.

However, what Mr. Ollis indicated was, what about the idea of approaching FRED Transit about running some kind of a pilot program, some kind of a test program starting in August to see, to gauge interest, that gives us time to push it out there, get the public information out there, so there's gonna be a meeting in October later this month that I'm gonna be a part of and FRED Transit, GWRC and the base folks.

And we're gonna just talk about it and see if there's a possibility. If the cost is so onerous, I certainly wouldn't recommend doing something like that, but if there's a possibility that FRED Transit can do it with limited costs to the county, then run it for a year, run it for six months and see if there is any interest, and then it's shut down if it's not something that's viable, but they're willing to do this and that's kind of where I'm at on it. I know Ms. Cupka had asked me to research it and work with them, and I certainly was working with the base folks to get... With Mr. Hastings, and they're willing to try it, but I think going in, I'll tell you this, there's... They don't feel that there's going to be a real demand for it, but I think if it's done right and maybe there's some good advertising or whatever, maybe there is some of this, and if we can get past COVID, I think that's another change 'cause that's one of the big hampering things right now to it is one, the basis, at a limited basis right now in terms of personnel, and then two, maybe a lot of people don't wanna get on a bus with 40 other people. And so that might be something that gets in the way, but I think it is worth looking at, experiment it, see what's happening, if the cost isn't significant and see if we can make it work.

**1:17:24.6 Madam Chair:** Thank you, Mr. Miller. Mr. Granger? Mr. Stonehill? Ms. Binder?

**1:17:31.9 C. Binder:** Yes, I have one concern, as you mentioned, a pilot program and you're looking for data, but if there's restrictions on the base in COVID and it's gonna create an unusual just situation, how can you get proper data? That would be my question.

**1:17:46.5 C. Miller:** Well, and I guess I would say that that's probably why the GWRC is kind of recommending put it out there, like in August, maybe you're getting past some of this and maybe we're getting back to normal, that's I think why they're looking at. It also gives them time to put this thing together, I think that... I'm just reporting back.

**1:18:07.8 C. Binder:** Okay. So that was just one question I had because I was thinking about it.

**1:18:11.1 C. Miller:** It's a good point, and it's gonna be something that is gonna have to be overcome.

**1:18:14.9 C. Binder:** 'Cause when I asked this at GWRC is one of the major drivers was the base and so that's why I bring it up.

**1:18:25.0 Madam Chair:** Thank you, Ms. Binder, Mr. Bueche?

**1:18:26.8 J. Bueche:** Thank you, Madam Chair. So if they're relying on the base work support, even after COVID is gone, if it ever goes away, at this point, the numbers are gonna be off because

I believe a lot of the federal government is gonna be maximizing Telework and those capabilities. So I don't see the capacity at the base go on that way, the other thing... But that's neither here nor there. The big thing for me would be, we're talking about a pilot program, I would like to know what the cost associated with that pilot program would be, especially as those numbers apply to the county, as was pointed out today, we're probably gonna... There's a potential of a shortfall in our budget from the cigarette tax based on those numbers, so we're talking about pilot and a cost, I know previously, and things have changed in the county. Well, previously, FRED bus was not successful and was not providing a return on investment to the county, so I wouldn't wanna put some money up and wind up in the same boat, so I would like to know if they're talking pilot, what are the costs up front before we were to commit some. Thank you, Madam Chair.

**1:19:35.6 C. Miller:** And that is what we will report back.

**1:19:39.6 Madam Chair:** Thank you, Mr. Bueche. I literally wrote on this memo, who pays for that pilot program? Yes, so you and I are in agreement on that. So do we have consensus though from the board to at least have Mr. Miller move forward and attend this meeting to flesh some of this stuff out and then report back. Very good. Alright, thank you so much for the update, Mr. Miller. Alright. Moving on, we have Item E: Confederate monument presentation by Ms. Donna Hahn, director of finance. Welcome Ms. Hahn.

**1:20:35.5 Donna Hahn:** Good evening, Madam Chair, members of the board. Mr. Miller asked me to put together a presentation for you all to let you know the process that we've been through so far, so what I've done is put together a timeline. On June 1st, the board of supervisors directed staff to determine the cost to remove and relocate the monument. On June 3rd, the interim County Administrator contacted Historyland for information related to relocating the monument to their location and what their estimated cost would be, Historyland Park estimated it would cost approximately \$40,000 to relocate the monument and would designate a location at no cost to the county. A request for proposals, an RFP was prepared, and after getting all the specs in line for that, it was posted to Eva on June 21st with a closing date of 7-21-21. Questions were due back to the procurement specialist by 7-14-2021. At that point, on the 14th, no questions had been received, and I made a call to the Virginia Department of General Services who has also been involved in taking down numerous monuments, and so I reached out to them, got some information, and we changed the closing date to August 11th and sent the RFP to other prospective bidders. After that, we did receive one proposal from a responsible and responsive bidder, the evaluation committee was selected and reviewed the proposal on 9/8, the evaluation committee interviewed the perspective contractor and were satisfied that their plan is satisfactory and advised that we should move forward. So at this point, I'm asking for board guidance on how we should proceed with this project.

**1:22:36.9 Madam Chair:** Alright, so first question I have for you, Ms. Hahn, can you make this PowerPoint available to us? Can you email it to us in the morning in case, I don't know, some citizen contacts us and might want it since it's in the public record now, please and thank you.

**1:22:55.4 Madam Chair:** Alright, so Mr. Britton, well, first, before we get to that, first, any questions from members with regard to the content of Ms. Hahn's presentation. Okay, so do you have a question about the content? 'Cause I'm about to ask Mr. Britton for some legal advice. So, Mr. Britton. So my understanding is, we do have, even though this is not personal property, subject to a public hearing, we have a code section from the code of Virginia in 2020 regarding memorials

for war veterans that would require a public hearing to move forward.

**1:23:42.8 M. Britton:** The original question is, when we discussed this was whether or not you needed a public hearing under 2.2-1800, which is the disposition of publicly owned land, real property. I think you might have accidentally said personal property, real property, and to which the answer is no. And then the question, we looked at the title, title of the land and the title of the obelisk, and of course, we couldn't determine it. So this property being essentially either the county's property or abandoned property can be moved off of county property as personal property... Either as assumed personal property, we don't have title to it or abandoned property and we don't want it on the property. Those are sort of loopholes to the monument, so what happened was, is the Charlottesville litigated this for like four years, and they came up with this monument removal statute as a result of this Charlottesville litigation. So basically, if you determine it in abundance of caution, you can hold the public hearing and you can always hold the public hearing, and then you're being compliance with 1812, the monument removal statute. If you determine it ain't ours, we didn't ask for it, we didn't assume liability for it, we're just getting rid of abandoned property and here someone who's gonna take it, then you don't need the public hearing, but in abundance of caution, hold the public hearing. I think you've already heard a lot anyway, it's the most open way to have it and you'll be in full compliance and then that'll avoid some ancillary lawsuit for injunction to stop it because you didn't hold the public hearing and it really was your property. But, can I tell you that a public hearing is required? No, because I can't tell you that you hold a title to it, and in 18... 15.2-1812, the so-called 1812 statute, prior to removing, relocating or covering any publicly owned monument or memorial. Publicly owned. I've spoken extensively to Ms. Binder about this, she's very knowledgeable, did a lot of the research on it, and we do believe that the better argument, and obviously you're asking my legal opinion in public, so I'm giving it to you and is that as a result of the county having kept it on the property, I myself have given talks to youngsters in front of it, and we cleaned it up a couple of times that it could be argued that we assumed adoption by... There's all sorts of ratification, ratification by performance, ratification by payment, ratification by keeping up. I think that's the better argument, it's certainly the safer way to go, and I don't see a negative for the public hearing. Of course, that's independent of the RFP issue. So I'm not... Madam Chair I hope that answered the... There's no public hearing required under 1800, there's... The better way is to go under 1812, but is it strictly necessary? No.

**1:26:41.6 Madam Chair:** Thank you, Mr. Britton, questions for Mr. Britton? No? Mr. Bueche, Okay? So how does the board wish to move forward?

**1:26:58.2 R. Granger:** I would like to, sounds like it's a little muddy in regards to knowing whether we need a public hearing or not and I'd rather err on the side of caution and have the public hearing.

**1:27:06.1 Madam Chair:** Thank you, Mr. Granger. Mr. Stonehill?

**1:27:09.7 J. Stonehill:** I would agree, even if we don't need one, we're not required to have one, let's just do one anyways. Just to be overly cautious.

**1:27:19.3 M. Britton:** Madam Chair in response, I know you didn't ask, but in response to Mr. Stonehill's question. What would happen would be, not his statement, what would happen would be and I agree, totally, is that's a better way because you would get a lawsuit for injunction and then you would litigate over the issue, and we'd be like litigating this novel issue about, "Well, we don't

really know who owns it," and it'd just be very expensive and it would delay the whole process that a lot of people want resolved.

**1:27:47.9 Madam Chair:** Just like Charlottesville.

**1:27:48.0 M. Britton:** Yeah, just... Three and a half years for some million dollars, I'm not saying to be exact, but you know, they covered the statute, you probably know all about that, there were all sorts of intermediary orders over something that I don't think there's an opponent in the ring, just have the public hearing and move forward based on the public hearing, and then you can't have any issues. So... And there's no cost to it, it's just a 30-day delay. The statute has some particular requirements of advertisement, as many statutes do, school board budget, whatever, public hearing, but this one is a 30-day requirement, so there will be an advertisement and then a 30-day delay from the advertisement, so it'll give you about a 45-day delay in making a decision on the art or what have you, that's a downside, I guess, if you don't want it delayed, if you want it delayed, that's an upside, but I do think that that is the best advice to why waste money and time and resources in court over something that you can easily remedy for free.

**1:28:50.0 Madam Chair:** Thank you. Ms. Binder?

**1:28:51.3 C. Binder:** I agree with that, but I just had a quick question, Ms. Hahn. What was the bid amount, was that in the timeline that it was 40,000 for relocating?

**1:29:00.5 D. Hahn:** It is under that 40,000.

**1:29:00.6 C. Binder:** Okay, thank you.

**1:29:02.8 Madam Chair:** Mr. Bueche?

**1:29:05.7 J. Bueche:** Thank you, Madam Chair. So I think, without a doubt, we should hold the public hearing on this, especially since public funds were utilized in the past approved by this or previous board to maintain it. As far as the request for quote, and that being for the one memorial, I still would like to, at some point, revisit the thought of moving both memorials from in front of the courthouse and co-locating them at the cemetery. Especially given that we don't know what the future of the court house is, and I think that's still a way to preserve the memorials and keep them publicly accessible. I think that would be most appropriate. So at some point, I don't wanna go outside of it, but at some point, if we could revisit that opportunity, Madam Chair, I would greatly appreciate it. Thank you.

**1:30:01.5 Madam Chair:** Alright then, so... So 30 days. So, Mr. Britton, we have...

**1:30:08.8 M. Britton:** Madam Chair, I think you can request a motion to authorize the advertisement of the public hearing...

**1:30:13.8 Madam Chair:** Right. So, I'm about to get to that.

**1:30:16.8 M. Britton:** Oh, sorry.

**1:30:16.9 Madam Chair:** I have a question for you with regard to the timing or when to schedule a

public hearing, because I believe we have regular meetings in November 9th and the 23rd, I think... Does that sound right? Let me double-check my calendar.

**1:30:32.2 M. Britton:** Alright. The 1812 statute says not less than 30 days after publication of the notice. The notice requires one publication, so I forget how long it takes to get it in, like when it will be, but in abundance of caution and I think you should go out beyond 40 days 'cause we gotta get it in.

**1:30:55.7 Madam Chair:** So should we look at the second meeting in November then?

**1:31:01.5 M. Britton:** I just don't know without someone here, maybe Chris does. When can we get it in the paper?

**1:31:05.4 C. Miller:** Heather's here, Heather Hall, and she is obviously with the Planning Commission...

**1:31:13.0 Madam Chair:** And Heather places ads for public hearings all the time with the Free Lance-Star. Heather, Ms. Hall, would you please provide us with a little bit of insight as far as the publication process goes with regard to public hearing notices?

**1:31:27.7 Heather Hall:** Our department typically utilizes our public hearing advertisements on Tuesdays, and the deadline is noon the Thursday before the Tuesday that it runs.

**1:31:39.6 M. Britton:** Right, so, 40 days. 'Cause if they get it in Thursday, it's gonna be the next Tuesday, and then you got 30 days.

**1:31:45.9 Madam Chair:** And that's the 10th, 11th? 12th? Yep, so November 9th would not cut it for 30.

**1:31:53.8 M. Britton:** I don't...

**1:31:54.6 Madam Chair:** Yep.

**1:31:55.2 M. Britton:** Unless you forced it and paid extra and all of that.

**1:31:56.7 Madam Chair:** No, I see what you mean, yeah. So second meeting in November. Yes? Yeah, because we have the 9th and the 23rd. Yep, so I'm looking for a motion to direct staff to advertise a public hearing regarding relocation of the Confederate Monument, public hearing date of November 23rd, 2021 at 6:30 PM. Is that right?

**1:32:30.4 R. Granger:** So moved.

**1:32:30.4 M. Britton:** If I could add pursuant to 15.2 (18) (12) since there are...

**1:32:35.5 Madam Chair:** Yes, the proper code section.

**1:32:36.7 R. Granger:** So moved.

**1:32:39.1 C. Binder:** I'd like to make a friendly adjustment to that...

**1:32:41.9 Madam Chair:** Amendment?

**1:32:44.5 C. Binder:** Amendment, thank you. To classify it as a Confederate Memorial.

**1:32:50.1 Madam Chair:** The code section...

**1:32:50.6 C. Binder:** It says memorials, that's why I'm looking at it...

**1:32:51.7 Madam Chair:** It says monuments or memorials. I have it right here.

**1:32:53.7 C. Binder:** Okay, I just... Looking at the code section...

**1:32:58.1 Madam Chair:** It won't matter whether we call it a monument or a memorial, they both are covered on our 1812.

**1:33:02.3 C. Binder:** Okay, just clarifying, 'cause I was looking at it...

**1:33:04.6 Madam Chair:** Yes, ma'am. Thank you. Alright, so we have a motion. Is there a second?

**1:33:07.0 R. Granger:** Second.

**1:33:09.7 Madam Chair:** Any further discussion? All those in favor say aye.

**1:33:11 C. Binder:** Aye.

**1:33:12 J. Bueche:** Aye.

**1:33:13.8 R. Granger:** Aye.

**1:33:14.1 J. Stonehill:** Aye.

**1:33:15.2 Madam Chair:** Any opposed? Chair votes aye, motion carries unanimously. Thank you, Ms. Hahn. Alright, action items. Oh, and thank you, Ms. Hall.

**1:33:34.6 H. Hall:** Anytime.

**1:33:37.7 Madam Chair:** Per your last. Alright, moving on, action items 10-04 Department of Community Development subdivision ordinance. Exception request, case number 21-07-SE01, requests for an exception from the requirements of article four, access standards, per 9.1 of the King George County subdivision ordinance made by David A. Norford, owner of tax map 5, Parcel 9. Welcome, Ms. Hall.

**1:34:07.2 H. Hall:** Thank you, Madam Chair. Again, subdivision ordinance exception request, request for an exception from the requirements of Article 4, access standards, per 9.1 of the King George County subdivision ordinance made by David A. Norford, owner of tax map 5, parcel 9. Tax map 5 parcel 9 is zoned A2 rule agricultural and is roughly 6.6 acres in size. The parcel is

provided access by a private road, known as Little Chatterton Lane. July 2021 Community development received a request for an exception from Mr. David Norford, after a zoning determination was made. Mr. Norford is seeking to subdivide the property and create a road off of Little Chatterton Lane to serve two new lots for a total of three lots.

The proposed lots are shown with the orange arrows, and the blue arrows show the proposed additional private road. This proposed road will connect to Little Chatterton and Chatterton Lane. Any subdivision of property in King George County must meet the requirements of the King George County subdivision ordinance. Subdivisions can be classified as major, minor, exempt and family. Minor subdivisions are defined in Section 1.7, definitions, as follows, Subdivision, minor: The subdivision of a parcel or land which results in the creation of five lots or less from a parent tract. Minor subdivisions are required to meet all of the requirements of this ordinance, including article 6, minor subdivisions.

The properties highlighted in orange show the properties along or with access to Chatterton and Little Chatterton Lane. Parcel five is shown in green and the blue line show the road network that is not maintained by VDOT. The subdivision of land, whether in the past or present constitutes a subdivision in any road serving in. In this case, Little Chatterton Lane is a subdivision road, therefore, in order to subdivide any lot further, the road would need to be upgraded to VDOT standards, and according to the article four of our subdivision ordinance. This provision and determination are also in compliance with the purpose and intent of our subdivision ordinance as outlined in 1.2. This provision allows for the orderly subdivision and development of land, seeks to avoid undue congestion of land and streets, and helps to provide for safe, legal, efficient and environmentally compatible ingress and egress to properties.

This slide shows some of the existing roadways leading to Mr. Norford's property, these narrow gravel roads presumably do not meet any of the access standards. A governing body may grant an exception to specific requirements of this ordinance when the subdivider can meet the following: Would cause undue hardship, and is not generally shared with other subdivisions, and granting of such exception will have no substantially adverse effect on future residents of the proposed subdivision nor upon any property adjoining to such division. It is staff recommendation that there is not an undue hardship, the property is developed with single family dwelling and other improvements associated with the home. Should the board decided that this is a hardship, this hardship would be shared with other potential subdivisions on private roads.

Granting of this exception will substantially adversely affect the adjoining properties who maintain the private road. Furthermore, every property on the private road could presumably apply for an exception for the same reasoning and develop each lot along the private roads in King George County, furthering the impacts to a private gravel road that are not state-maintained. Additionally, adding more parcels that will use the road for access would increase the degree of non-conformance.

August 10th, 2021, the Planning Commission heard this case, and after discussion forward the recommendation to the Board of denying this case, the motion carried unanimously, and on August 13th, Mr. Norford notified community development that he was unable to attend the September board meeting. King George County Planning Commission, I think... Yeah, King George County Planning Commission and Community Development staff recommends the King George County Board Supervisors deny case number 2107SE01, the application does not meet the requirements for an exception per 9.1 of the King George County subdivision ordinance. I'm available if you have any questions, and Mr. Norford is here to address the board.

**1:38:55.2 Madam Chair:** Thank you, Miss Hall, so I will go down the line first and allow members to ask any questions of staff, and then I will allow Mr. Norford the opportunity to speak as

well. So Mr. Granger, do you have any questions?

**1:39:07.3 R. Granger:** No questions, but thank you for report, I appreciate it.

**1:39:12.5 Madam Chair:** Mr. Stonehill, do you have any questions, sir?

**1:39:14.2 J. Stonehill:** No questions.

**1:39:16.3 Madam Chair:** Ms. Binder?

**1:39:17.4 C. Binder:** I just want to clarify one thing with the pictures of Little Chatterton Lane, is that basically, just to make sure that my eyes are correct here, is that it is only one car passable?

**1:39:28.1 H. Hall:** That is the way I drove it and understood it to be, yes ma'am.

**1:39:30.6 C. Binder:** Okay, thank you.

**1:39:34.9 Madam Chair:** Mr. Bueche, I believe this is your district. Do you have any specific question, sir? Alright. Mr. Norford, would you like to speak, sir? Welcome and thank you for joining us this evening.

**1:39:47.8 David Norford:** Thank you. I was unaware that there's apparently some effort to redo the ordinances...

**1:40:06.4 Madam Chair:** Yes, sir. That's correct.

**1:40:08.4 D. Norford:** How does one participate in that?

**1:40:11.5 Madam Chair:** So there are flyers on the front table here, if you would like on your way out, please go ahead and take both of them, one relates specifically to the survey and gives you directions on how to participate in the survey. The other flyer mentions the survey and has the dates of the two public meetings that we are hosting in support of the efforts, so please do take both flyers. If they aren't there, please let one of us know and we will make sure to get them to you before you leave.

**1:40:45.8 D. Norford:** Thank you so much.

**1:40:46.3 Madam Chair:** Yes, sir.

**1:40:46.8 D. Norford:** I appreciate it. Well, after my application for a minor subdivision was turned down by staff, I appealed to planning arguing that the requirement to build a VDOT approved road to access my A2 zone property, which among other issues, would it cause undue hardship. This requirement is unreasonable because it would require a state standard road be built at my expense for more than three quarters of a mile connecting the driveway of my property to the nearest State Road. It's impossible to meet that requirement, and for staff to say that that's not an undue hardship, I'm really surprised. Other issues I raised were not considered in the subsequent denial, those were if strictly adhere to the specific requirement would cause an undue hardship, as I've said, that such hardship was not aired generally by other previously approved minor

subdivisions. In other words, these approvals have gone on in the past. And that granting of such exception will have no substantially adverse effect on future residents of adjoining properties. I would also add that every adjoining property owner had no objection to my request, and in fact two or three owners appeared in person in my support. During the discussion at the planning commission, there were concerns about an element of fairness. For my request as a property owner that purchased property prior to 12-17-2002, with the understanding that then existing rights were grandfathered from the current section 4.1, access standards, as they pertain to minor subdivision. During that August Planning Commission hearing, I noted several statements of settlement from commission members expressing wishes there were some available alternative. Ultimately they ignored other points, as I have already stated, and simply focused on the ordinance itself and said, "We can't go against our own ordinance." I'm here to ask that this board overrule the planning denial and specifically seek relief with approval of this one single minor subdivision. The Board of Supervisors of King George County has an exemption history which it used in 2002 when these things were going on, when it exempted two minor and two major subdivisions that were pending when the current ordinance was being discussed and voted on. In the alternative, since the August Planning Commission hearing, being mindful of commission member's comments, I have further studied and considered alternative solutions that, in my opinion, are fair, equitable and will eliminate possible litigation in the future. In studying the history, I found that in general, retroactive and thereby punitive property laws have been struck down in court cases across the country. Therefore, as an alternative, I ask that the board of supervisors return this denial to the planning commission with an appropriate instruction to further discuss and consider an amendment to 4.1, the access standards. To with, adding an item 1 on item I, Section 1, that exempts qualified zoning and sized property purchased before 12-17-2002 from section 4.1 access standards, items A through H as they may pertain to minors subdivisions. There was considerable discussion at that time, what is a subdivision? And when Mr. Green put forward his proposal to the board of supervisors rather, I believe he had in his mind that he was talking about subdivisions, the way we recognize subdivisions to be today, with streets and curbs and all that goes along with those, and didn't consider at the time, those of us who have property that we thought, we thought we still had the rights to subdivide for purposes of planning, or inheritance, or some other situation. So that's my story. There's an element of fairness here and an element of unfairness, frankly, that... And I'm just looking for a way to get something done and I think if you all instead of just denying outright or send it back. I'd like to have an opportunity to discuss this further with the Planning Commission. I'd also like the idea that maybe if you send it back, you don't make me pay another \$900 fee to appear before the Planning Commission, because that's what I had to pay in order to present, I had to pay, write a check, for \$900 simply for the right to appear before the Planning Commission. Thank you.

**1:47:33.9 Madam Chair:** Thank you, Mr. Norford. Questions for Mr. Norford? Mr. Bueche, do you wanna start? Since it's your district, do you have any?

**1:47:40.3 J. Bueche:** I have some questions for Heather, but...

**1:47:44.1 Madam Chair:** Okay.

**1:47:44.2 J. Bueche:** Thank you, sir.

**1:47:44.9 Madam Chair:** Ms. Binder?

**1:47:45.7 C. Binder:** I have questions for Heather also.

**1:47:47.1 Madam Chair:** Mr. Stonehill? Okay. Mr. Granger? No? Alright, thank you, sir. You may be seated. Ms. Hall, please, and thank you. Mr. Bueche?

**1:48:02.3 J. Bueche:** Thank you, Madam Chair. Chris, can you pull those slides back up, the one with private gravel roads. Yes. So, I live in a current similar situation. Jordan Lane, my driveway is horrible, it's one lane gravel road, so I can understand those concerns, but I had to sign an agreement with my neighbors that all live along that road, that we would maintain it and things like that. So question, is there such an agreement between all the neighbors on this road? And if all the neighbors are consenting to this, that would really help my position in further deliberating this. So, sir, is something like that been provided to the Planning Commission or to community development, or is there a record of such an agreement?

**1:49:05.6 D. Norford:** There is a record...we maintain our road ourselves, we pay to do it. We pay every year to do it. We pay every year.

**1:49:23.4 J. Bueche:** And that's where I'm kinda going with this. So with there being an agreement between all the neighbors that utilize these roads, and everyone has basically a cost-share into that maintenance, if the people within that agreement are willing to go on the record, without any objection, to this being done, especially giving how long this has been under ownership and prior to the ordinance being in place, etcetera, etcetera, I would be in favor of approving this, but I would... I don't know, Madam Chair, what the protocols would be if we would table it until something could be provided, an official record or whatever, because I would want to see one, that agreement, and two that the people that signed on to that agreement have no objection to this moving forward, then I would actually support it, but until I see something of that, I really can't make a decision one way, or the other, Madam Chair.

**1:50:32.4 Madam Chair:** Ms. Hall, is there any road access easement on file with the Circuit Court Clerk's Office in reference to Little Chatterton?

**1:50:41.3 H. Hall:** If there is, I'm unaware of it. Those are private agreements in which our department is not involved in. Any amendment to that agreement would not come through my department.

**1:50:51.9 Madam Chair:** Okay. Anything further, Mr. Bueche?

**1:50:55.6 J. Bueche:** My position would be, Madam chair, that I would put this back on the applicant to provide such documentation and letters of support from all the neighbors, and if that could be provided, if we could then revisit that at the Board of Supervisors. Thank you, Madam Chair.

**1:51:15.6 Madam Chair:** So you do not wanna... You wanna keep it at the board of supervisor level?

**1:51:21.1 J. Bueche:** Yes, ma'am.

**1:51:21.9 Madam Chair:** Okay. Just so we're clear. Thank you. Ms. Binder?

**1:51:26.0 C. Binder:** I have a few questions. Well, like Mr. Bueche, I live on a road like this, and I had asked Ms. Hall a long time ago for more clarification, and she mentioned to me that technically I live on a subdivision, but not based on the Chesapeake Bay Act. And would this fall in that because the Chesapeake Bay Act changed a lot of things right with conforming roads and non-conforming roads?

**1:51:48.3 H. Hall:** This is not a... Chesapeake Bay Act may have played very small portion of it. However, once upon a time, before I was here, private gravel roads proposed a problem in King George County. We had a lot of private gravel roads that were not maintained. People continually subdivide on them, and therefore that text amendment of this access standards was put in play so that it gives you a number of which they don't want to go over for private roads, therefore having that VDOT state-maintained requirement.

**1:52:22.1 C. Binder:** Would that also, if an agreement was made by the land owners, and I'm just asking this for clarification, Mr. Brent might have to clarify this a little more, is even if there is an agreement, they would have to bring it up to VDOT standards, correct?

**1:52:39.2 H. Hall:** Correct. Our ordinance says that they have exceeded the amount of access. If you notice the blue lines is where the non-state-maintained starts and every one of the properties in orange could possibly divide, should they come and apply for the same exception as Mr. Norford.

**1:53:02.0 C. Binder:** So would open that up to lots of it, possible exceptions and also they would have to bring it up, agree to bring it up to VDOT standards?

**1:53:10.2 H. Hall:** Yes.

**1:53:10.5 C. Binder:** Okay.

**1:53:11.4 H. Hall:** But you would be opening the door for further exemptions, which would be a shared subdivision issue essentially. And then if you would have to either amend the ordinance to allow for private road gravel access standards that don't have to meet VDOT standards, and that would open up a large flood bay of subdivision possibilities.

**1:53:39.6 C. Binder:** And I know when we talked about... And part of the reason we're redoing our zoning and subdivision all at the same time, is 'cause it makes sense to do it and not piecemealing it. And so the suggestion to bring it back to the Planning Commission would piecemeal it again instead of...

**1:53:55.7 H. Hall:** It would piecemeal it again and I think the question that the board should ask themselves, is this something that the board wants to do, do we want to have numerous minor subdivisions along narrow gravel private roads in which previously posed a problem in King George County.

**1:54:15.5 C. Binder:** Right, and if you look at things about proper planning and ordinances for the future, that's not a thing they tell you. Too many in our CIP, that's frowned upon for now, and that happened in Spotsy a lot, and they got these little roads with congestion but you answered all my questions, thank you.

**1:54:33.5 H. Hall:** You're welcome.

**1:54:34.3 Madam Chair :** Mr. Stonehill?

**1:54:42.7 J. Stonehill:** So the total property size is 6.68 acres, so they're gonna put three homes about two more, but three, so there'd be two, a little over two plus acres per lot. They would just divide it up evenly.

**1:55:09.0 H. Hall:** So the minimum size in A2 is two acres and they would beat that threshold. The access standards is what's preventing this division.

**1:55:25.7 J. Stonehill:** And how far is that off of the water? Do we know?

**1:55:32.8 H. Hall:** The lots off of the water? This is the Potomac river right here, sir.

**1:55:42.5 J. Stonehill:** It's a long, skinny lot.

**1:55:44.7 H. Hall:** Yes sir.

**1:55:45.6 J. Stonehill:** So there would be two more out towards the road.

**1:55:50.3 H. Hall:** So this is Mr. Norford's existing waterfront home here, he's proposing two more divisions.

**1:56:00.5 J. Stonehill:** Okay, thank you. No more questions.

**1:56:04.8 Madam Chair:** Thank you, Mr. Granger.

**1:56:06.7 R. Granger:** I don't have any questions, but I don't like the idea of us circumnavigating our own ordinances. I'll just be honest, I'm not in favor of moving forward with this.

**1:56:18.6 Madam Chair:** Thank you, Mr. Granger. I don't have any questions. I do understand Mr. Norford where you're coming from. I don't like the fact that there seemed to have been many other minor subdivisions in that area in the past that didn't have to... I'm just not, I'm not understanding that.

**1:56:45.6 H. Hall:** That was prior to the time it...

**1:56:47.2 Madam Chair:** It was prior to the 1998 or 2002?

**1:56:51.5 H. Hall:** I believe that the access standards was amended late 90s, early 2000s, I don't have that exact date right this second, but it was many years ago.

**1:57:04.8 Madam Chair:** But, however, I do agree with Mr. Granger, I don't care for the idea of circumventing the ordinance to try to make this happen. Will of the board?

**1:57:29.8 R. Granger:** You are asking for a motion or just for us to discuss it?

**1:57:34.6 Madam Chair:** I'll entertain further discussion.

**1:57:36.2 R. Granger:** I was just asking, I know Mr. Bueche put purples up there, so I didn't... I don't know if I really understand where Ms. Binder and Mr. Stonehill stand in regards to what they feel, if they would like to wait and see information to consider, or they wanna make a decision tonight.

**1:57:56.0 J. Stonehill:** I feel for the applicant, but I think if we go ahead and change this if they're not gonna update the road to VDOT standards, that we're gonna open up a big can of worms for anybody that, who wants to do this in the future, plus that piece of land that's down there anyways. I've been down there. It's tight on a good day. I can imagine putting more houses, and if we didn't... If we allowed this at the end of the road there, then that whole neighborhood there can then sub-divide and we get to we restart that snowball rolling throughout the county, so anybody that has six acres of land, or can start sub-dividing homes on these prior road so I feel for you, sir, but I can't see you moving forward with this.

**1:58:48.6 Madam Chair:** Ms. Binder?

**1:58:53.1 C. Binder:** I agree, I've had a couple of these issues come up in my own district in Shiloh, and it was a no, and I understand the whole VDOT standards and making sure that the roads are safe, and I would have to say no, but I don't understand, but it is a hardship.

**1:59:19.4 Madam Chair:** So I'm looking at the parcel detail report, and it says, year built 1998, year sold 2014. Do you know anything about the history of the property Ms. Hall?

**1:59:32.9 H. Hall:** I would have to defer to Mr. Norford.

**1:59:35.1 Madam Chair:** So that's what I'm about to do. Thank you. Mr. Norford could you take the podium next please sir? So I pulled up your parcel on GIS and I click on parcel detail, and it has your parcel number and your name, and I would assume your wife's name, address, the tract and the acreage, and the land value improvements, total property value, but it says year built, 1998 year sold 2014. When sir did you come into possession of the property?

**2:00:14.4 D. Norford:** In 1995 is when I first purchased the property, and then we built...

**2:00:24.5 Madam Chair:** Built later.

**2:00:24.6 D. Norford:** Right. Well, we built during 1997 and 1998. There's been no sale of anything, and it wasn't my intention to put houses on the property, it's for purposes of future planning for my children some time into the future, I'm not gonna do anything with it.

**2:00:47.1 Madam Chair:** But... So you personally are not going to put dwellings on the property, but that doesn't mean that one of your heirs might not... Because my question then is, why else would you subdivide do a minor subdivision of this parcel?

**2:01:06.1 D. Norford:** Well, again, it was for... It was for estate planning.

**2:01:15.0 Madam Chair:** Okay, thank you.

**2:01:17.5 D. Norford:** But me personally, not building any more houses.

**2:01:22.0 Madam Chair:** I get that you personally. Thank you.

**2:01:25.3 D. Norford:** Right.

**2:01:26.0 Madam Chair:** You could have a seat. Thank you, sir.

**2:01:29.4 D. Norford:** Thank you.

**2:01:31.5 Madam Chair:** Yeah. Mr. Bueche, I think we left off with you, sorry.

**2:01:35.4 J. Bueche:** Thank you, Madam Chair. So that was one of my questions, was the ownership, and I thank you for the answer, 1995, 26 years ago. So I understand what everybody is saying about our own ordinances, but in all honesty, we recognize that our ordinances are cumbersome and a special exception is something that should be reviewed on a case-by-case basis. So I understand that the implications may play into other things, but it's our job to be able to discern and use discernment in that process on a case-by-case basis. Being this was 26 years ago, this isn't somebody who just came in three years ago, bought this property, there's an opportunity, hot market, will subdivide it make some money, this is someone who's doing estate planning for property that he's had for 26 years and I think if in agreement, again, I'm of the position to where I wanna know, how does this impact your neighbors? Thus, going back to, I would like to see the agreement for the drive with all the adjacent neighbors and if there's no objection by them, I would like to revisit this, but again, whatever the board decides that... But that's my position, Madam Chair.

**2:03:00.6 Madam Chair:** Thank you, Mr. Bueche. Anything further? Ms. Binder?

**2:03:04.5 C. Binder:** Just wanted clarification, but they would have to bring it up to VDOT standards, so they would have to share the cost of bringing that road up to VDOT standards.

**2:03:12.7 J. Bueche:** I would argue that saying that the VDOT standards is something that is in our ordinance, but is not a state code issue. That's a King George County ordinance, which is why I said that if they have an agreement between the neighbors on a single-lane gravel road that everyone has to maintain and is agreed to utilize, if the neighbors are in fact made aware there will be three more parcels down the road, you are gonna have three more neighbors. If they are fine with that and they have no objection, then I don't see why we would enforce the VDOT standards because that length... That's why I'm not doing my driveway, the cost is ridiculous. There's no way to be able to do that. So I think that's something that is county level, so I wouldn't be in favor of enforcing the bringing it up to VDOT standards. Now, this is a special exception, something that we debate and we look at, and I have no problem with working with a citizen who's owned something 26 years, maybe there could be negotiations, well, maybe you need to expand the road, it could still be gravel or whatever, but maybe it needs to be two lanes wide or something to that effect. I don't think it needs to be matter of fact, 'cause this is the ordinance that we have. I understand why the planning commission made their recommendation, I understand why Heather's office made their recommendation, that's the ordinance. But when someone's asking for a special exception, they're

coming to us to be able to look at it and it's a special exception, that's all I'm saying. I'd like to work with it.

**2:04:54.8 Madam Chair:** And so, hold on, Ms. Binder. So, Mr. Bueche, the problem I have with that argument is it is not a special exception. Sir, if you read the board report, it is a subdivision ordinance exception request.

**2:05:15.3 J. Bueche:** Okay.

**2:05:16.0 Madam Chair:** So hold on. So, we are being asked, request for an exception from the requirements of Article four of the... Per 9 access standards per 9.1 of of the King George County subdivision ordinance. We are being asked to vacate our own ordinance, and there is a big difference between that...

**2:05:40.0 J. Bueche:** I see what you're saying now.

**2:05:41.3 Madam Chair:** And a special exception permit, which you file an application to do that, and there is a process, and there are certain things you can file for. This is completely different.

**2:05:53.7 J. Bueche:** I understand.

**2:05:54.2 Madam Chair:** So for me, I'm sorry, I just can't go there.

**2:06:00.3 J. Bueche:** I would like to entertain the exception. Not special exception, exception. Thank you.

**2:06:06.5 Madam Chair:** Ms. Binder, I'm sorry, I didn't mean to cut you off, but I did have a counterpoint.

**2:06:11.6 C. Binder:** You summed up, I would have said it a little differently, but I agree with you because then this opens up the floodgates for a lot of other and ones we've denied in the past.

**2:06:22.6 Madam Chair:** Any further discussion? I'll entertain a motion.

**2:06:26.7 R. Granger:** I move to deny case number 21-07-SE01 as application does not meet the requirements for an exception per 9.1 of the King George County subdivision ordinance.

**2:06:39.0 J. Stonehill:** Second.

**2:06:40.1 Madam Chair:** Any further discussion? We have a motion properly seconded, all those in favor say aye.

**2:06:46.0 C. Binder:** Aye.

**2:06:46.4 R. Granger:** Aye.

**2:06:46.9 J. Stonehill:** Aye.

**2:06:47.3 Madam Chair:** Any opposed?

**2:06:48.2 J. Bueche:** Nay.

**2:06:48.9 Madam Chair:** Chair votes aye, motion carries 4-1. Let the record show Mr. Bueche voted nay, thank you. Thank you, Mr. Norford, for coming out this evening. Alright, next item 10-05 Department of Finance, request to execute a goods services agreement for King George County Fire Rescue and Emergency Services Boat. Ms. Turner here on behalf of the department of finance. Welcome.

**2:07:34.3 Angela Turner:** Thank you. Good evening, Madam Chair, members of the board, Mr. Miller, Mr. Britton. I'm Angela Turner, the procurement specialist. Request to execute a goods services agreement for the King George County Fire Rescue and Emergency services Boat. I recommend that the Board of Supervisors authorize the County Administrator, to issue a notice of award to 799-200 ONT inc. Doing business as Conner Industries for King George County Fire Rescue and Emergency Services Boat in the amount of \$116,502 and authorize the County Administrator to execute a goods services agreement subject to approval by the county attorney. King George County Department of Fire Rescue, and Emergency Services is in need of replacing its current boat two and to acquire a fire rescue boat that is designed and capable of a fire and rescue mission. The new fire rescue boat will replace the current 1989 Wall Craft Center Console fishing model boat. Which has been plagued with mechanical issues and lacks operational abilities. The new fire rescue boat will be designed for use in both the Potomac and Rappahannock River. And will have fire suppression capabilities, with the use of a mounted 500 GPM pump and will have an assortment of designs to better enable maritime rescue efforts. This new fire rescue boat would be an important asset to have in order to respond to an increasing amount of calls on both the rivers due to increase of boaters, kayakers, swimmers, etcetra. Funding will be used from 100% of grant fund programs to include, The Virginia Department of Fire Programs Aids to Locality, \$50,476.55, Office of Emergency Medical Services Four for Life, \$29,364.40, Virginia Department of Fire Programs Aid to Localities, \$36,661.05. On September 13, 2021, bids were received and publicly opened via go-to meeting for King George County Fire Rescue, and Emergency Services Boat. A total of two bids were received. The low bid was submitted by 799200ONT Inc., doing business as Connor Industries. County staff have completed and reviewed the bids and have determined that the low bidder is qualified, responsible, and a responsive contractor. The bid amount is \$116,502. And we have Chief Moody here present this evening, if you have any questions.

**2:10:33.1 Madam Chair:** Thank you, Ms. Turner. Any questions from members, for Ms. Turner? Mr. Granger.

**2:10:37.1 R. Granger:** Yes ma'am, thank you. Ms. Turner, thank you. So it's 100% grant funds. Do we have to allocate the dollars from the general fund and then it's reimbursed to us, or do we already have the grant dollars in-house right now and it's available. Okay I see the head nod from Chief Moody, so I take that... I appreciate it. Thank you. That's all I had. But thank you.

**2:11:02.6 Madam Chair:** Mr. Stonehill?

**2:11:06.0 J. Stonehill:** I talked to Chief about this boat. Well there's no perfect boat, but this is a whole lot better than we have right now. When you go into detail and you look at the web, it's hard

to look at on this, but if you go into their website and look at the boat and look at comments of other things, it's a heck of a rig. Which will definitely help the fire department so and it's great that it's all paid for.

**2:11:31.7 Madam Chair:** Thank you. Ms. Binder?

**2:11:33.3 C. Binder:** I'm good with it. I know it's very important to have a boat for all the calls we have on the river to rescue our citizens if they're in trouble. So, I think it's important.

**2:11:43.9 Madam Chair:** Thank you. Mr. Bueche?

**2:11:45.0 J. Bueche:** Long time coming. Thank you.

**2:11:48.2 Madam Chair:** I don't have any questions. I'm assuming Chief, these grants were found by your hard working grant writer over there at Company One.

**2:12:05.1 Chief David Moody:** Yes, ma'am, absolutely. This has been on the radar of our screen for quite a while. We have had a need for it. I think it's no secret that we're seeing more activity on the rivers, and it's not just the Potomac River, it's the Rappahannock. We've had more public boat landings and with that comes more people and more voters, more 911 calls. But we're very blessed to have not one penny of general fund local tax dollar that will go to support this. So it's a win-win. A win for our department, win for the community. I actually put together a few slides, I can go through some pictures because I know... If you would like me to.

**2:12:52.5 Madam Chair:** Can you just email your presentation to us? 'Cause I think we can all see where this ones going. I don't think we need to belabor it any further.

**2:13:00.1 Chief Moody:** Absolutely.

**2:13:00.5 Madam Chair:** So congratulations, thank you very much.

**2:13:02.5 Chief Moody:** Thank you.

**2:13:02.8 Madam Chair:** Do we have a motion?

**2:13:07.9 J. Stonehill:** I move to recommend that...

**2:13:10.5 R. Granger:** To authorize, you don't want to recommened, you want to move to authorize.

**2:13:17.9 J. Stonehill:** Authorize the county administrator to issue a notice of award to 799200ONT INC. Doing business as Connor Industries for King George Fire Rescue, and Emergency Services boat in the amount of \$116,502, no cents, and authorize the county administrator to execute a goods and service agreement, subject to approval by the county attorney.

**2:13:49.0 R. Granger:** Second.

**2:13:50.0 Madam Chair:** Any further discussion? All those in favor say aye.

**2:13:52 C. Binder:** Aye.

**2:13:52.6 J. Bueche:** Aye.

**2:13:53 R. Granger:** Aye.

**2:13:54.1 J. Stonehill:** Aye.

**2:13:54.7 Madam Chair:** Any opposed? Chair votes aye motion carries unanimously. Thank you Ms. Turner. Alright, next item 10-06 Department of Finance authorized a transfer in the Department of Social Services Public Assistance, fiscal year 2020-2021 budget from departments to cover the deficit in the budget line. Ms. Hahn.

**2:14:18.8 D. Hahn:** Good evening Madam Chair, members of the board. I come before you tonight to ask you to authorize the county administrator to transfer funds from department budgets to the oops... That's a error in that, it should be to the Public Assistance Department of Social Services line in the amount of \$309,695.10 for fiscal year 2020-2021. The appropriated budget for that line was \$250,000 but the expenditures for last year were \$559,695.10. Resulting in the difference of \$309,695.10.

**2:15:07.8 Madam Chair:** Thank you, Ms. Hahn. Questions? Mr. Granger?

**2:15:11.9 R. Granger:** Yes, just in regards to the recommended action. You said it was not to the CSA, it's the Social Services, Department of Social Services?

**2:15:18.9 D. Hahn:** Department of Social Services Public assistance.

**2:15:21.6 R. Granger:** Public assistance?

**2:15:22.6 D. Hahn:** Yes, and that's for that.

**2:15:24.6 R. Granger:** No, it's okay. I just wanna make sure I get it right.

**2:15:27.1 Madam Chair:** Mr. Stonehill?

**2:15:31.1 J. Stonehill:** No questions.

**2:15:31.9 Madam Chair:** Ms. Binder? Mr. Bueche?

**2:15:34.2 J. Bueche:** No, ma'am.

**2:15:35.3 Madam Chair:** Do we have a motion?

**2:15:37.5 J. Bueche:** I move to... I authorize a County of Administrator transfer funds from department budgets to the Social Service Department Public Assistance budget in the amount of \$309,695.10 for fiscal year 2020-2021.

**2:15:52.4 J. Stonehill:** Second.

**2:15:54.7 Madam Chair:** Any further discussion? All those in favor, Say "aye."

**2:15:56 C. Binder:** Aye.

**2:15:57 J. Bueche:** Aye.

**2:15:58.4 R. Granger:** Aye.

**2:16:00.1 J. Stonehill:** Aye.

**2:16:00.7 Madam Chair:** Any opposed? Chair votes aye. Motion carries unanimously. Thank you. Ms. Hahn. Alright. County administrators report. Mr. Miller?

**2:16:11.4 C. Miller:** Okay, so a couple of items on there. The first is receive the correspondence from Ms... Dr. Ann Bueche, requesting Ms. Jacqueline Kunstmann, RN, to be appointed to the Comprehensive Policy and Management Team, as the King George Health Department Representative. So I would need a motion on that.

**2:16:32.1 R. Granger:** So moved.

**2:16:34.8 Madam Chair:** Any further discussion? All those in favor say, "Aye."

**2:16:36 C. Binder:** Aye.

**2:16:37 J. Bueche:** Aye.

**2:16:37.8 R. Granger:** Aye.

**2:16:38 J. Stonehill:** Aye.

**2:16:38.1 Madam Chair:** Chair votes aye. Motion carries unanimously.

**2:16:40.7 C. Miller:** Second item on there is that you recall that you did issue an RFP for the Ralph Bunche building I'm here to report that the one that we received is not a responsive bidder or a proposal. So I would recommend that we reject that and pursue other avenues.

**2:17:02.2 Madam Chair:** Questions? Mr. Granger? Mr. Stonehill? Ms. Binder? Mr. Bueche?

**2:17:11.2 Madam Chair:** So I... I mean, I would like to just put it back out. While we pursue other options, I would like to put it back out for like six months and just give it some time and see if anyone comes up with anything. Can we do that, Mr. Britton?

**2:17:29.0 M. Britton:** Yes, that would require a motion. I think what that administrator is saying is that finance and his admin have determined that it's not responsive to the RFP. If you're happy with the RFP, you can have a motion and then a vote on whether to re-issue the RFP and give a date.

**2:17:56.0 Madam Chair:** Thank you. Any discussion, as far as consensus the board would?

**2:18:01.6 R. Granger:** I move forward. I would move forward with the re-issuing the RFP.

**2:18:06.6 Madam Chair:** Do you have a certain period of time you had in mind?

**2:18:08.2 R. Granger:** I'm fine with six months.

**2:18:09.7 Madam Chair:** Okay. Mr. Stonehill? Yes, Ms. Binder? Yes. Okay, so do I have a motion?

**2:18:14.3 R. Granger:** I move to authorize staff to re-issue the RFP for the Ralph Bunche building for six months.

**2:18:25.9 J. Stonehill:** Second.

**2:18:27.2 Madam Chair:** Any further discussion? All those in favor say, "Aye."

**2:18:29 C. Binder:** Aye.

**2:18:30.4 J. Bueche:** Aye.

**2:18:30.4 R. Granger:** Aye.

**2:18:30.4 J. Stonehill:** Aye.

**2:18:30.5 Madam Chair:** Any opposed? Chair votes aye. Motion carries unanimously.

**2:18:35.7 Miller:** The next item is just an informational item. The Dahlgren Flooding and Coastal Resiliency meeting has finally been set. October 25th, it'll be at the UMW Dahlgren campus from 5:00 to 7:00. I think that Supervisor Stonehill and I have been talking about this, and how really critically important it is to get people there, to give the opportunity for these folks that are putting this meeting on, to hear from the public and to hear concerns. And so if you know of anybody, tell them that that's what's going on. We will get something, put on our website just as a reminder, so that we can kind of encourage people. I know that's a concern of Supervisor Stonehill.

**2:19:28.6 Madam Chair:** Any questions about that? Mr. Stonehill?

**2:19:33.6 Stonehill:** No questions. But Chief, since you're right there, can you make sure that you can get that on your Facebook page and website, whatever you all have as well, to help with the... Get the word out about flooding issues.

**2:19:47.1 Madam Chair:** Ms. Binder? Mr. Bueche? Alright.

**2:19:56.1 C. Miller:** Okay. Excuse me. The next item is the VACo designation of an alternate for the... From the board of supervisors. Ms. Cupka is the current primary, and we just need a designation of somebody for the VACo liaison. Is there anybody?

**2:20:16.6 Madam Chair:** So yeah, we just need to make sure whoever, obviously, is planning on attending the VACo conference, but yeah... We're just looking for an alternate to have the boards proxy. Motion?

**2:20:31.8 C. Binder:** I'll motion Mr. Stonehill be that VACo designation as the Vice Chair.

**2:20:42.9 R. Granger:** Second.

**2:20:44.2 Madam Chair:** Any further discussion? All those in favor say, "Aye."

**2:20:46 C. Binder:** Aye.

**2:20:47 J. Bueche:** Aye.

**2:20:47.3 R. Granger:** Aye.

**2:20:47.4 J. Stonehill:** Aye.

**2:20:47.6 Madam Chair:** Chair votes aye. Motion carries unanimously. Thank you, Mr. Stonehill for agreeing to do so.

**2:20:53.1 J. Stonehill:** Thank you all.

**2:20:56.7 C. Miller:** The next item is just as Ms. Binder talked about, the strategic plan meeting of the GWRC. What they're trying to do is restructure, address a lot of the concerns that have maybe been plaguing them in the past in terms of limited interest from parts of the counties that are represented, but also represented... Representation had a very good meeting. I think that they're moving in the right direction. The Berkeley Group is helping them with that. And so I'm sure we'll get some future notices of that information as they start to formalize some things. But the last item on there is just an informational item. We don't obviously do any spraying, but we were provided with notice that the Virginia Department of Agricultural is about to spray in for gypsy moths. So any... Obviously, folks that are in agriculture, they probably are getting that notification too, but if they do have an interest, they can contact us and we'll help them with that. But we don't obviously do anything.

**2:22:08.1 C. Binder:** Madam Chair.

**2:22:12.8 Madam Chair:** Yeah, they're like ones that make the tent in the trees.

**2:22:16.5 C. Binder:** I actually can... I mean, I grew up in New Jersey. So the gypsy moths started in Connecticut as an experiment to make a silk... Perfect silk worm that went awry and they escaped from the facility, and they have... I think it was in the '50s, they have worked their way down the East Coast. And why they're so...

**2:22:34.3 J. Bueche:** Dr. Fauci worked there too.

**2:22:37.1 C. Binder:** Why they are so nasty is they... If they hit a tree three years in a row, and usually they go after pine trees, the tree dies. And there are those like big web things you see.

People think they're cute caterpillars, but they're not really, but they are an oopsie...

**2:22:52.4 J. Bueche:** Okay...

**2:22:53.1 C. Binder:** Man-made.

**2:22:54.0 J. Bueche:** Thanks. I wish they would spray for those potato bugs. Those stink bug things, they're starting to come out now. But thank you for the clarity, I greatly appreciate it.

**2:23:01.5 Madam Chair:** So do you have anything further, Mr. Miller?

**2:23:03.5 C. Miller:** That is it.

**2:23:04.6 Madam Chair:** Alright. We... I believe we have a motion for a closed session to entertain.

**2:23:36.3 J. Stonehill:** I move that the King George County Board of Supervisors convene in closed meeting pursuant to State Code Section 2.2-3711(A)(1) for consideration... For discussion and consideration our prospective candidates for the employment for the position of County... Position of County... Of the County Attorney... Deputy County Attorney. I invite the County Attorney and County Administrator because they are deemed necessary and or their presence will reasonably aid the board and its consideration of the topics to be discussed pursuant to VA Code Section 2.2-3712(F).

**2:24:18.9 R. Granger:** Second.

**2:24:20.7 Madam Chair:** All those in favor say, "Aye."

**2:24:21.5 C. Binder:** Aye.

**2:24:22.1 J. Bueche:** Aye.

**2:24:22.4 R. Granger:** Aye.

**2:24:22.6 J. Stonehill:** Aye.

**2:24:22.7 Madam Chair:** Chair votes aye. Motion carries, we are in closed session.

[Pause]

Alright. Do we have a motion?

**2:24:24 J. Stonehill:** I move that the King George County Board of Supervisors return to Public Meeting and certify by vote that only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements by Virginia law, and only such public business matters as were identified in the motion convening the closed meeting were heard, discussed or considered during the closed meeting.

**2:24:40 R. Granger:** Second.

**2:24:42 Madam Chair:** All those in favor...I'm sorry. Please each member so certify.

**2:24:45 R. Granger:** So certify.

**2:24:46 J. Stonehill:** So certify.

**2:24:46.6 C. Binder:** So certify.

**2:24:47 J. Bueche:** So certify.

**2:24:48 Madam Chair:** So certify. Motion carries. We are in open session. Is there a motion?

**2:24:49 R. Granger:** I move... I'm sorry. Do we have something else? Just adjournment, right? I move to adjourn to October 12, 2021, at 6:30 pm at the King George Fire and Rescue, Company 1.

**2:24:55 J. Stonehill:** Second.

**2:24:56 Madam Chair:** All those in favor say aye.

**2:24:58 C. Binder:** Aye.

**2:24:59 J. Bueche:** Aye.

**2:25:00 R. Granger:** Aye.

**2:25:01 J. Stonehill:** Aye.

**2:25:02 Madam Chair:** Chair votes aye. Motion carries. We are adjourned.

**Thank you for choosing Scribie.com**

Cross-check this transcript against the audio quickly and efficiently using our online Integrated Editor. Please visit the following link and click the Check & Download button to start.

<https://scribie.com/files/611ee98257834ba5ac257c18f95e3e0bcb97fd54>